

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
COUNTY OF: CHESTER**



**POLICE CRIMINAL COMPLAINT  
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
VS.**

Magisterial District Number: **15-2-01**  
 MDJ Hon: **KOVALESKI, JAMES C**  
 Address: **347 BRIDGE ST  
PHOENIXVILLE, PA 19460**  
 Telephone: **(610) 455-1125**

**DEFENDANT:** (NAME and ADDRESS)  
**ALLEN** **JAY** **GOULD**  
First Name Middle Name Last Name Gen  
**HEDGEROW LANE,**  
**MALVERN, PA 193558619**  
**(215) 720-4303**

**NCIC Extradition Code Type**  
 NCIC Extradition Code Type **1 - FELONY FULL** Distance

DEFENDANT IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION							
Docket Number <b>15-102-25</b>	Date Filed <b>5/14/25</b>	OTN/Live Scan Number <b>M 1012465-1</b>	Complaint Number <b>J2DEB8N048</b>	Incident Number <b>PA 2017-366657</b>	Request Lab Services <b>NO</b>		
Gender <b>M</b>	Date of Birth <b>05/18/1964</b>	POB <b>MS - USA</b>	Add'l DOB	Co-Defendant? <b>NO</b>			
Defendant Also Known As	Defendant's First Name		Middle Name	Last Name		Suffix	
Race <b>WHITE - W</b>			Ethnicity <b>NOT OF HISPANIC ORIGIN - N</b>				
Hair Color <b>BROWN - BRO</b>			Eye Color <b>HAZEL - HAZ</b>				
DNA <b>NO</b>	DNA Location			Weight <b>175 LBS</b>			
FBI Number			MNU Number		Height <b>5' 07"</b>		
Defendant Fingerprinted <b>NO</b>	Fingerprint Classification						

DEFENDANT VEHICLE INFORMATION							
Plate # <b>KHA1228</b>	State <b>PA</b>	HazMat	Registration Sticker (MM/YY) <b>2026</b>	Commercial Vehicle? <b>NO</b>	School Veh. <b>NO</b>	Oth. NCIC Veh. Code	Reg. same as Def. <b>YES</b>
VIN <b>2FMPK4K82HBB45114</b>	Year <b>2017</b>	Make <b>FORD</b>	Model	Style <b>SEDAN, 4-DOOR, AUTO</b>	Color <b>GRAY</b>		

Office of the Attorney of the Commonwealth  Approved  Disapproved because: **JESSICA YURICK**

(The attorney for the Commonwealth may require that the complaint, arrest warrant affidavit, or both be approved by the attorney for the Commonwealth prior to filing. Pa.R.Crim.P.507.)

**MICHELLE FREI**  
 (Name of Attorney for Commonwealth - Please Print or Type) \_\_\_\_\_ (Signature of Attorney for Commonwealth) \_\_\_\_\_ (Date)

I, **TPR. JASON SPERAZZA**  
 (Name of Affiant - Please Print or Type) **624053/10308**  
 (PSP/MPOETC - Assigned Affiant ID Number & Badge #)

of **PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE, TROOP J. AVONDALE**  
 (Identify Department or Agency Represented and Political Subdivision) **PAPSP0200**  
 (Police Agency ORI Number)

do hereby state: (check appropriate box)

- 1.  I accuse the above named defendant whdives at the address set forth above
- I accuse the defendant whose name is unknown to me but who is described as
- I accuse the defendant whose name and popular designation or nickname is unknown to me and whom I have therefore designated as John Doe or Jane Doe.

with violating the penal laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at: **202** **HEDGEROW LN., MALVERN, PA 19355, CHARLESTOWN**  
 (Subdivision Code) **TWP. CHESTER COUNTY, CHARLESTOWN TWP**  
 (Place-Political Subdivision)

in **CHESTER** County **15** on or about **3/28/2017 AT APPROX. 00:01 HRS - 4/12/2017 AT APPROX. 12:30 HRS**  
 (County Code) (Offense Date)



# POLICE CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

Docket Number <b>CP-102-25</b>	Date Filed	OTN/Live Scan Number	Complaint Number <b>J2DEB8N048</b>	Incident Number <b>PA 2017-366657</b>
Defendant Name	First <b>ALLEN</b>	Middle <b>JAY</b>	Last <b>GOULD</b>	

The acts committed by the accused are described below with each Act of Assembly or statute allegedly violated, if appropriate. When there is more than one offense, each offense should be numbered chronologically

(Set forth a brief summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense(s) charged. A citation to the statute(s) allegedly violated, without more, is not sufficient. In a summary case, you must cite the specific section(s) and subsection(s) of the statute(s) or ordinance(s) allegedly violated.

Inchoate Offense	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempt 18 901 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Solicitation 18 902 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Conspiracy 18 903	Number of Victims Age 60 or Older				
Lead? YES	Offense # 1	Section 2502	Subsection A	PA Statute (Title) 18	Count 1	Grade H1	NCIC Offense Code 0999	UCR/NIBRS
PennDOT DATA (if applicable)		Accident Number	Interstate Indicator NO		Safety Zone NO		Work Zone NO	

Statute Description (include the name of statute or ordinance):  
**MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE**

Acts of the accused associated with this Offense:

**IN THAT, on or about said date, THE DEFENDANT did commit murder of the first degree by an intentional killing.**

Inchoate Offense	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempt 18 901 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Solicitation 18 902 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Conspiracy 18 903	Number of Victims Age 60 or Older				
Lead? NO	Offense # 2	Section 2502	Subsection C	PA Statute (Title) 18	Count 1	Grade F1	NCIC Offense Code 0999	UCR/NIBRS
PennDOT DATA (if applicable)		Accident Number	Interstate Indicator NO		Safety Zone NO		Work Zone NO	

Statute Description (include the name of statute or ordinance):  
**MURDER OF THE THIRD DEGREE**

Acts of the accused associated with this Offense:

**IN THAT, on or about said date, THE DEFENDANT did commit murder of the third degree by committing any other kind of murder.**



# POLICE CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

Docket Number <b>CF-102-25</b>	Date Filed	OTN/Live Scan Number	Complaint Number <b>J2DEB8N048</b>	Incident Number <b>PA 2017-366657</b>
Defendant Name	First <b>ALLEN</b>	Middle <b>JAY</b>	Last <b>GOULD</b>	

The acts committed by the accused are described below with each Act of Assembly or statute allegedly violated, if appropriate. When there is more than one offense, each offense should be numbered chronologically

Inchoate Offense	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempt 18 901 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Solicitation 18 902 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Conspiracy 18 903	Number of Victims Age 60 or Older				
Lead? NO	Offense # 3	Section 2501	Subsection A	PA Statute (Title) 18	Count 1	Grade H1	NCIC Offense Code 0999	UCR/NIBRS
PennDOT DATA (if applicable)		Accident Number	Interstate Indicator NO		Safety Zone NO		Work Zone NO	

Statute Description (include the name of statute or ordinance):  
**CRIMINAL HOMICIDE**

Acts of the accused associated with this Offense:  
**IN THAT, on or about said date, THE DEFENDANT did intentionally, knowingly, recklessly or negligently cause the death of another human being by criminal homicide (murder, voluntary manslaughter, or involuntary manslaughter).**

Inchoate Offense	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempt 18 901 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Solicitation 18 902 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Conspiracy 18 903	Number of Victims Age 60 or Older				
Lead? NO	Offense # 4	Section 5510	Subsection	PA Statute (Title) 18	Count 1	Grade M2	NCIC Offense Code 4804	UCR/NIBRS
PennDOT DATA (if applicable)		Accident Number	Interstate Indicator NO		Safety Zone NO		Work Zone NO	

Statute Description (include the name of statute or ordinance):  
**ABUSE OF CORPSE**

Acts of the accused associated with this Offense:  
**IN THAT, on or about said date, THE DEFENDANT, except as authorized by law, did treat a corpse in a way that he knows would outrage ordinary family sensibilities.**



# POLICE CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

Docket Number <b>CX-102-25</b>	Date Filed	OTN/Live Scan Number	Complaint Number <b>J2DEB8N048</b>	Incident Number <b>PA 2017-366657</b>
Defendant Name	First <b>ALLEN</b>	Middle <b>JAY</b>	Last <b>GOULD</b>	

The acts committed by the accused are described below with each Act of Assembly or statute allegedly violated, if appropriate. When there is more than one offense, each offense should be numbered chronologically

Inchoate Offense	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempt 18 901 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Solicitation 18 902 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Conspiracy 18 903	Number of Victims Age 60 or Older				
Lead? NO	Offense # <b>5</b>	Section <b>4906</b>	Subsection <b>B1</b>	PA Statute (Title) <b>18</b>	Count <b>1</b>	Grade <b>M2</b>	NCIC Offense Code <b>4803</b>	UCR/NIBRS
PennDOT DATA (if applicable)		Accident Number	Interstate Indicator <b>NO</b>		Safety Zone <b>NO</b>		Work Zone <b>NO</b>	

Statute Description (include the name of statute or ordinance):  
**FALSE REPORTS - REPORTED OFFENSE DID NOT OCCUR**

Acts of the accused associated with this Offense:

**IN THAT, on or about said date, THE DEFENDANT did report to law enforcement authorities an offense or other incident within their concern knowing that it did not occur.**

Inchoate Offense	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempt 18 901 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Solicitation 18 902 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Conspiracy 18 903	Number of Victims Age 60 or Older				
Lead? NO	Offense # <b>6</b>	Section <b>4904</b>	Subsection <b>A1</b>	PA Statute (Title) <b>18</b>	Count <b>1</b>	Grade <b>M2</b>	NCIC Offense Code <b>4803</b>	UCR/NIBRS
PennDOT DATA (if applicable)		Accident Number	Interstate Indicator <b>NO</b>		Safety Zone <b>NO</b>		Work Zone <b>NO</b>	

Statute Description (include the name of statute or ordinance):  
**UNSWORN FALSIFICATION TO AUTHORITIES**

Acts of the accused associated with this Offense:

**IN THAT, on or about said date, THE DEFENDANT did with the intent to mislead a public servant in performing his official funtion, make any written false statement which he does not believe to be true, in violation of Section 4904(a)(1) of the PA Crimes Code.**



# POLICE CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

Docket Number <b>CP-102-25</b>	Date Filed	OTN/Live Scan Number	Complaint Number <b>J2DEB8N048</b>	Incident Number <b>PA 2017-366657</b>
Defendant Name	First <b>ALLEN</b>	Middle <b>JAY</b>	Last <b>GOULD</b>	

The acts committed by the accused are described below with each Act of Assembly or statute allegedly violated, if appropriate. When there is more than one offense, each offense should be numbered chronologically

Inchoate Offense	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempt 18 901 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Solicitation 18 902 A	<input type="checkbox"/> Conspiracy 18 903	Number of Victims Age 60 or Older				
Lead? NO	Offense # 7	Section 4910	Subsection 1	PA Statute (Title) 18	Count 1	Grade M2	NCIC Offense Code 4804	UCR/NIBRS
PennDOT DATA (if applicable)		Accident Number	Interstate Indicator NO		Safety Zone NO		Work Zone NO	

Statute Description (include the name of statute or ordinance):  
**TAMPER WITH/FABRICATE PHYSICAL EVIDENCE**

Acts of the accused associated with this Offense:

**IN THAT, on or about said date, THE DEFENDANT believing that an official proceeding or investigation is pending or about to be instituted, did alter, destroy, conceal or remove any record, document or thing with the intent to impair its verity or availability in such proceeding or investigation in violation of Section 4910(1) of the PA Crimes Code**



POLICE CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

Docket Number <u>15-102-25</u>	Date Filed	OTN/Live Scan Number	Complaint Number J2DEB8N048	Incident Number PA 2017-366657
Defendant Name	Defendant's First Name ALLEN	Middle Name JAY	Last Name GOULD	

- I ask that a warrant of arrest or a summons be issued and that the defendant be required to answer the charges I have made.
- I verify that the facts set forth in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge or information and belief. This verification is made subject to the penalties of Section 4904 of the Crimes Code (18 Pa.C.S.§4904) relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
- This complaint consists of the preceding page(s) numbered 1 through 6
- I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Case Records Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

The acts committed by the accused, as listed and hereafter, were against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and were contrary to the Act(s) of the Assembly or in violation of the statute cited.

(Before a warrant of arrest can be issued, an affidavit of probable cause must be completed, sworn to before the issuing authority, and attached.)

Cpl. Don J. Breck TRK. #10770  
 SPENAZZA #10308  
 TR. D. B. AL ALBEE 12509

05/14/25  
 (Date)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Signature of Affiant)

AND NOW, on this date, 5/14/2025 I certify that the complaint has been properly completed and verified. An affidavit of probable cause must be completed before a warrant can be issued.

15-2-01  
 (Magisterial District Court Number)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Issuing Authority)



## INTRODUCTION

Your affiants submit this affidavit in support of an arrest warrant charging Allen GOULD with First Degree Murder and related offenses. As detailed below, on 04/12/17, Anna MACIEJEWSKA was reported missing by her husband, Allen GOULD, hereafter known as the DEFENDANT. He claimed that he last saw MACIEJEWSKA leave for work on the morning of 04/10/17. A missing persons investigation was initiated, and multiple members of the Pennsylvania State Police began to investigate the evidence, facts, and circumstances surrounding the incident. Investigators soon discovered that MACIEJEWSKA'S life pattern came to an abrupt stop on 03/29/17. The abrupt stop in MACIEJEWSKA'S life pattern, in conjunction with additional evidence as detailed below, demonstrated that she was not "missing" but instead a victim of homicide. Your affiants believe that between 03/29/17 to 04/12/17 the DEFENDANT delayed reporting, destroyed evidence, disposed of MACIEJEWSKA'S body, manipulated evidence, pretended to be MACIEJEWSKA (with electronic devices), and misled MACIEJEWSKA'S family, friends, and employers, all for the sake of protecting himself from accusation and arrest. As a result, and after a thorough investigation, your affiants submit there is probable cause to believe that DEENDANT murdered MACIEJEWSKA on or about 03/29/17. To date, MACIEJEWSKA'S body has not been recovered.

## INTRODUCTION OF YOUR AFFIANTS

Your affiant, Trooper First Class Jason Sperazza, has been employed with the Pennsylvania State Police since October of 2005. During my time with the Pennsylvania State Police, I have conducted and participated in well over a thousand criminal investigations and/or arrests. As a result of those investigations and arrests, your affiant has testified in various criminal prosecutions within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania resulting in the conviction of offenders for violations of several Pennsylvania statutes. In addition, by participating in those investigations, I have both training and experience in the preparation, application, and service of court orders and search warrants.

Through my time as a trooper, I have participated in and successfully completed dozens of trainings, building upon my overall knowledge and experience of crimes, offenders, and investigations. Trainings hosted by the Pennsylvania State Police, local law enforcement, and federal law enforcement that I have successfully completed to include: Interview and Interrogation, Cognitive Interviewing, Child Abuse Investigations, Child Sex Abuse Investigations, Missing Children Dynamics, Abusive Head Trauma, Advance Homicide/Violent Crimes, Wiretap, Utilizing Cell Phones in Homicides, Cold Case Homicide Investigations, and Criminal Behavior Assessment. Your affiant has also successfully completed trainings offered by law enforcement groups such as the International Homicide Investigators Association, Pennsylvania Homicide Investigators Association, and John E. Reid and Associates. Through these groups I have been instructed on Advanced Practical Homicide Investigations, Cold Case/No Body Homicide Investigations, The Reid Technique of Interview and Interrogation, and Sexually Deviant Killers

From 2005 to 2014, your affiant was assigned to the Patrol Section at Troop K Media, Delaware County and then Troop J Avondale, Chester County. During my time in the Patrol Section I was tasked with enforcing traffic laws, investigating crashes, and responding to crimes in progress. I

was also tasked with investigating a wide variety of crimes which included Driving Under the Influence, Retail Theft, Domestic Violence, Harassment, Theft from Motor Vehicles, Fraud, and violations of the Controlled Substances, Drugs, Device, and Cosmetic Act.

In 2014 your affiant joined the Criminal Investigation Unit at Troop J Avondale Chester County. Upon arrival to that unit, I was primarily tasked to investigate crimes against women and children (special victims). These crimes included sexual and physical assaults, neglect of children, domestic violence, and child abductions. In addition to those crimes, I would often be assigned to investigate more complex crimes. That included organized burglary/theft rings, automobile thefts, white collar crimes, missing persons, elder abuse, Megan's Law violations, armed robbery, and homicide.

In 2020, your affiant joined the Pennsylvania State Police Criminal Investigation Assessment Unit (CIA) as a secondary job function. The CIA Unit is a behavioral analysis unit that will investigate and assess crimes of a violent or of a serial nature. Those crimes include homicide, cold case (unsolved) homicide, abductions, missing persons, equivocal deaths, and sexually violent crimes against women or children. In addition, the Pennsylvania State Police provides ongoing training and curriculum to the members of the CIA Unit which allows them to expand on their overall knowledge and experience of said crimes.

Your affiant has investigated dozens of missing persons cases during his time with the Pennsylvania State Police. This case is the only case where your affiant has not found the reported missing person. In all other cases, I have found the missing person alive and well, or the human remains of a deceased missing person. I have found missing persons locally and also living abroad in other states or nations.

Your affiant, Corporal David Brodeur, is a member of the Pennsylvania State Police, currently assigned to the Bureau of Emergency and Special Operations. I have been employed by the Pennsylvania State Police since 2007. I have conducted and participated in hundreds of criminal investigations. In my current assignment I am responsible for conducting investigations into a wide range of criminal activity including Homicide, Missing Persons, Rape, Robbery, Burglary, Theft, Assault, violations of the Controlled Substance, Drug, Device, and Cosmetics Act, Fraud related offenses, and the Physical and Sexual Abuse of Children. Additionally, I have testified in various prosecutions within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania resulting in convictions of defendants for violations of several of these Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes. Through my time as a police officer and by participating in these investigations, I have both training and experience in the preparation, application, and service of Court Orders and Search Warrants.

Your affiant, Trooper Tyler Albee, is a member of the Pennsylvania State Police, currently assigned as a Trooper to the Criminal Investigation Unit at Troop J, Embreeville Station. Your affiant has been a member of the Pennsylvania State Police since 2014. Your affiant has successfully completed Basic Training at the Pennsylvania State Police Academy which has included instruction in numerous kinds of investigations to include, but not limited to theft, burglary, robbery, and various other violations of the Pennsylvania Crimes Code. At the Pennsylvania State Police Academy your affiant underwent a detailed course of training and instruction on the duties of a Pennsylvania State Trooper. Since your affiant graduated from the

Pennsylvania State Police Academy, he has conducted numerous criminal investigations in which many have included the search and investigation of electronic communication devices, electronic records and data. Your Affiant has experience in the preparation of various kinds of Search Warrants, Arrest Warrants, and Affidavits of Probable Cause. Your Affiant has conducted numerous investigations into and assisted in numerous criminal investigations pertaining to the Pennsylvania Crimes Code.

Since graduation from the Pennsylvania State Police Academy, your Affiant has investigated and/or assisted in several hundred criminal incidents and undergone various training to include, but not limited to the following:

- Background Investigator - a course detailing investigative techniques and practices in conducting background investigations
- Wiretap 'A' Certification - a course detailing the laws surrounding Wiretap investigations and violations, techniques and strategies in conducting Wiretap investigations, investigative tools for Wiretap investigations, and certification in conducting Wiretap investigations
- Interview and Interrogation - a course detailing techniques and methods of interviewing criminal actors, victims, witnesses, and identifying deceptive behavior and tendencies of criminal actors during interviews
- Basic Criminal Investigation - a course detailing crime scene management, investigative techniques and resources, interview and interrogation of suspects and witnesses, and case preparation and testimony
- Non-Traffic / Equivocal Death Investigation - a course detailing with best practice standards for death investigations and basic understanding of forensic pathology
- Basic Homicide Investigation - a course detailing response to the scene, use of resources, crime lab capabilities, search warrants, and prosecution.
- Interview and Interrogation - a course detailing techniques and methods of interviewing criminal actors, victims, witnesses, and identifying deceptive behavior and tendencies of criminal actors during interviews.

Through my duties as a Pennsylvania State Trooper, your affiant has had the opportunity to conduct numerous criminal investigations. As a member of the Pennsylvania State Police, your Affiant has investigated numerous violations of the Pennsylvania Crimes Code. Through your Affiant's time as a law enforcement officer, he has both the training and experience in the preparation, application, and service of various kinds of search warrants. Your Affiant has had the opportunity to conduct numerous search warrants of persons, residences, vehicles, medical records, and electronic communication devices.

### **ANNA MACIEJEWSKA'S BACKGROUND**

Anna Bronislawa MACIEJEWSKA was born in Warsaw, Poland in 1973, making her 43 years of age at the time of her murder. MACIEJEWSKA spent all her childhood in Poland and grew up with a family of four, which included her mother, father, and sister. MACIEJEWSKA also maintained close friendships since kindergarten with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The three women dubbed themselves "The 3 Annas" and were best friends.

MACIEJEWSKA decided to move to the United States in August of 1997 to study actuarial mathematics at the University of Louisville in Kentucky. MACIEJEWSKA had already received two (2) degrees from Warsaw University in Poland for mathematics and computer science. She lived in Louisville for two (2) years as she studied and taught other students to pay for tuition. MACIEJEWSKA finished first in her class and received a Master of Arts in May of 1999.

After graduation, MACIEJEWSKA chose to work at ING U.S., Inc., in West Chester, PA, now known as Voya Financial. MACIEJEWSKA was a successful employee and maintained her job for roughly 17 years with no known troubles or issues. MACIEJEWSKA commuted to work in her blue, Audi A4, under a traditional 9:00AM to 5:00PM schedule. The Audi A4 was the vehicle she drove daily and was the car she drove almost exclusively. This vehicle was recently purchased as the previously owned vehicle she drove was totaled in a motor vehicle crash. Financial records and witness accounts demonstrate that even though MACIEJEWSKA made significant yearly earnings, she chose to save significant portions of her earnings and did not live beyond her means.

MACIEJEWSKA married the DEFENDANT in April of 2006. In June of 2006, MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT traveled to Warsaw, Poland where they had a traditional ceremony in a Catholic church.

At the time of her death in March of 2017, MACIEJEWSKA was socially active, had many hobbies, and participated in multiple clubs/organizations. Those hobbies included working out at a cycling gym and Pilates studio, attending a movie club and book club, and participating in a women's health group. MACIEJEWSKA attended these clubs regularly as part of her routine. She also maintained regular communication with friends and associates of these clubs by phone, text, and/or email. MACIEJEWSKA also loved to travel and scheduled sporadic visits back to Europe to visit her friends and family. MACIEJEWSKA typically preferred to travel with both her husband and son.

In May of 2013, Anna MACIEJEWSKA gave birth to her first and only child, A.G. The child's name is known to the police but is withheld from this affidavit due to him being a juvenile. A.G. was 4 years of age when the DEFENDANT claimed MACIEJEWSKA went missing. By all accounts, MACIEJEWSKA was an attentive mother who loved her son. It was very important to MACIEJEWSKA that her son grew up understanding and appreciating his Polish background. To help her son learn about Polish culture, MACIEJEWSKA enrolled A.G. into a Polish School on 09/16/16. MACIEJEWSKA would drive her son to the school in New Jersey every Friday so he could learn Polish language, customs, and culture. A.G. currently retains dual citizenship with the United States of America and Poland. The DEFENDANT and MACIEJEWSKA disagreed on parenting styles, which was often the topic of arguments between the two.

### INITIAL REPORT AND INVESTIGATION

On 04/11/17 at 1934 hours, [REDACTED] of Voya Financial contacted the Pennsylvania State Police Embreeville Barracks to report Anna MACIEJEWSKA as missing. [REDACTED], who is a co-worker, reported that MACIEJEWSKA was a no call – no show for the past two days of work (04/10/17 and 04/11/17). [REDACTED] explained that type of behavior was out of character for MACIEJEWSKA. [REDACTED] further explained that she called MACIEJEWSKA'S husband (the

DEFENDANT) because she was concerned. [REDACTED] was informed by the DEFENDANT that he had not seen MACIEJEWSKA since yesterday morning (04/10/17), when she left for work. [REDACTED] was informed by the DEFENDANT that he was not going to contact police and was instead going to wait one more night to hopefully hear from MACIEJEWSKA. Concerned by the DEFENDANT'S response, [REDACTED] decided to contact the police herself.

On 4/11/17, immediately following the call from [REDACTED], the Pennsylvania State Police Embreeville Barracks received a second call about MACIEJEWSKA. The call was made by [REDACTED], who resides locally, but is friends with MACIEJEWSKA'S family in Poland. [REDACTED] was also calling to report MACIEJEWSKA missing. [REDACTED] reported that MACIEJEWSKA had not spoken with anyone in her family since March 27, 2017. He believed that was abnormal for her, especially considering she originally had plans to travel to Poland to visit her family during this time frame, but ultimately did not go. Overall, [REDACTED] was concerned and suspicious of the situation, and requested help from the Pennsylvania State Police to locate MACIEJEWSKA.

As a result of the calls, Tpr. John WAZNAK was dispatched to [REDACTED] Hedgerow Lane, Charlestown Township, Chester County, Pennsylvania for a welfare check on Anna MACIEJEWSKA. This is the primary residence of MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT. Tpr. WAZNAK approached the residence and knocked on the door. There was no answer and Tpr. WAZNAK did not see any activity inside of the home by looking through windows. Tpr. WAZNAK then walked around the perimeter of the home and also found no signs of anyone being home, which included all lights being off within the residence. Tpr. WAZNAK reported back to the barracks with negative results.

On 04/12/17 at approximately 1230 hours, the DEFENDANT contacted the Pennsylvania State Police Embreeville Barracks to report MACIEJEWSKA as missing. The DEFENDANT reported to the Police Communications Officer (commonly known as a dispatcher) that MACIEJEWSKA didn't come home from work 04/10/17 as expected. The DEFENDANT explained that he had called his wife's place of employment (Voya Financial) to attempt to determine her whereabouts. The DEFENDANT claimed that he first discovered MACIEJEWSKA had not been to work on 4/10/17 and 4/11/17 during the call with Voya. The DEFENDANT was informed that a Trooper would be responding to his residence to take a report. The DEFENDANT questioned when police would respond to the home and whether he needed to remain on location until that time.

On 04/12/17 at approximately 1354 hours, Tpr. William EVERETT responded to [REDACTED] Hedgerow Lane to take a report of a missing person from the DEFENDANT. Upon arrival, Tpr. EVERETT spoke with the DEFENDANT. The DEFENDANT related that his wife failed to return home from work on 04/10/17. He last saw his wife leaving for work on 04/10/17 at 0945 hours in her blue Audi A4. She had left "in a panic" as she was running late for work and had a 10:00a.m. meeting. Tpr. EVERETT noticed that MACIEJEWSKA'S Apple iPhone and iPad were on the kitchen table. The iPhone was in a startup/update status, as if it had been reset. The DEFENDANT explained that MACIEJEWSKA had begun updating her cell phone on the morning of 04/10/17 but could not wait any longer for the update to complete. As a result, MACIEJEWSKA left her cell phone at home to finish updating while she rushed to work.

The DEFENDANT explained that it was unlike MACIEJEWSKA to leave and not come home without contacting anyone. He also confirmed that MACIEJEWSKA had never run away or gone missing before. The DEFENDANT assured Tpr. EVERETT that there were no issues in the relationship and there were no indicators of MACIEJEWSKA harming herself – that MACIEJEWSKA never related to him that she wanted to do harm to herself or would do harm to herself.

The DEFENDANT explained MACIEJEWSKA had taken off work within the past two weeks due to a stomach illness. Her first day back at work was supposed to be Monday, 04/10/17, which her employer was aware of. The DEFENDANT claimed to have worked from home during the past two weeks due to MACIEJEWSKA not feeling well. The DEFENDANT further explained there was a possibility of MACIEJEWSKA traveling to Poland this Easter to visit family. The decision to travel to Poland was going to be a “last minute” decision. The departure would have taken place on 04/11/17 or 04/12/17. MACIEJEWSKA had started to pack bags for the trip in case she decided to go.

Tpr. EVERETT presented the DEFENDANT with a Pennsylvania State Police Missing Person Declaration form, SP 4-301 (11-95). The form asks the reporting party to declare an individual missing under one of the following categories: Disability, Involuntary, Endangered, or Catastrophe. Tpr. EVERETT noted that the DEFENDANT had difficulty filling out the form and selecting a category. The DEFENDANT ultimately declared that MACIEJEWSKA was a missing disabled person by selecting “Disability – A person who is missing and who is under physical/mental disability, thereby subjecting himself/herself or others to personal and immediate danger.” The DEFENDANT then signed the form and gave it back to Tpr. EVERETT.

On 04/12/17 at 1710 hours, MACIEJEWSKA’S nephew, [REDACTED], contacted the Pennsylvania State Police Embreeville Barracks and spoke with Trooper EVERETT. [REDACTED] wanted to report MACIEJEWSKA’S disappearance and his family’s concerns. [REDACTED] explained he and [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA (MACIEJEWSKA’S mother) flew to Pennsylvania from Poland because they learned MACIEJEWSKA was missing. [REDACTED] explained MACIEJEWSKA was supposed to fly to Poland for her father’s 80<sup>th</sup> birthday party, but ultimately did not come. [REDACTED] believed MACIEJEWSKA did not fly to Poland because the DEFENDANT did not want her to go, and also due to work conflicts. [REDACTED] also explained that on 03/30/17, [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA (MACIEJEWSKA’S father) received a text from MACIEJEWSKA’S cell phone wishing him a happy birthday, and that was the last time anyone has heard from her. [REDACTED] was also aware that MACIEJEWSKA’S marriage was not well and there have been problems within the relationship.

On 04/12/17, Trooper EVERETT contacted the school A.G. attended at the time, located in Frazer, PA. Trooper EVERETT was informed that only the DEFENDANT had been picking up and dropping off A.G. as of late.

On 04/12/17, Trooper EVERETT had MACIEJEWSKA entered in NCIC/CLEAN as a missing person along with her vehicle information. No NCIC responses were ever received by any other police agency across the nation.

On 04/13/17, Tpr. William EVERETT spoke with [REDACTED] via telephone. [REDACTED] met MACIEJEWSKA through the Women's Emotional Wellness Center. They would attend meetings and go out to dinners roughly every two or three weeks. The last time she saw MACIEJEWSKA in person was on 02/22/17, for a meeting and dinner. The last time she communicated via text message with MACIEJEWSKA was on 03/09/17. [REDACTED] described MACIEJEWSKA as someone who would respond to her text messages and not leave the conversation open. [REDACTED] had learned that MACIEJEWSKA had a troublesome marriage and was not happy with the relationship she had with the DEFENDANT. Specifically, MACIEJEWSKA disagreed with the DEFENDANT on how to raise their son, and felt the DEFENDANT obstructed her from having the type of relationship she wanted with her son. MACIEJEWSKA had informed [REDACTED] that she wanted a divorce, but the DEFENDANT disagreed whenever they spoke of it. MACIEJEWSKA had informed [REDACTED] that she and the DEFENDANT had set a firm date to discuss a divorce.

On 04/13/17, Tpr. William EVERETT spoke with [REDACTED] via telephone. [REDACTED] last interacted with MACIEJEWSKA a week prior via text message. MACIEJEWSKA informed [REDACTED] that she reserved a time to speak with the DEFENDANT about getting a divorce because he kept putting it off. MACIEJEWSKA disclosed that she was afraid to leave her husband for fear of losing her son. The last set of text messages [REDACTED] had saved on her phone, from 04/01/17, were in relation to how that conversation (regarding the divorce) went between MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT. [REDACTED] was only informed that the conversation went well.

As part of the investigation, Tpr. EVERETT continued to speak with MACIEJEWSKA'S neighbors and employer, none of which had seen MACIEJEWSKA in weeks. Tpr. EVERETT also contacted local hospitals which revealed that MACIEJEWSKA did not admit herself anywhere for treatment. On 04/13/17, Tpr. William EVERETT contacted the PSP Embreeville Criminal Investigation Unit for further investigation.

On 04/14/17 the DEFENDANT was interviewed by Tpr. Brian OLSZEWSKI and Cpl. Bernie MULLEN at his residence in Malvern, PA, to gather more information. Tpr. OLSZEWSKI specifically asked the DEFENDANT about MACIEJEWSKA'S daily routine. The DEFENDANT explained that MACIEJEWSKA suffered a miscarriage in October of 2016 and was then diagnosed with depression. The DEFENDANT advised that she attended counseling twice a week for her depression. The DEFENDANT confirmed that they own another residence located at [REDACTED] Court, in West Chester, PA, and have not lived there for about a year. The DEFENDANT assured the Troopers that he had already checked that residence and did not find MACIEJEWSKA there.

During the interview, the DEFENDANT allowed Tpr. OLSZEWSKI to walk through the residence. Tpr. OLSZEWSKI observed some of MACIEJEWSKA'S essential everyday items in the kitchen, such as her iPhone, iPad, Apple watch, and medications. Tpr. OLSZEWSKI proceeded to the upstairs master bedroom where he observed divorce paperwork laid out. Tpr. OLSZEWSKI asked the DEFENDANT if MACIEJEWSKA wanted a divorce. The DEFENDANT said they had spoken about a divorce but agreed to clean up their townhouse in West Chester as a place to decompress rather than separate completely.

Tpr. OLSZEWSKI examined the divorce paperwork while at the residence. The examination revealed MACIEJEWSKA sought divorce counseling from [REDACTED] from a local law firm. MACIEJEWSKA had taken multiple pages of notes from her counseling regarding filing for divorce, child support, alimony, equitable division that were dated 03/20/17. There were also a set of notes dated 03/10/17 from a different divorce counselor. In this second set of notes, MACIEJEWSKA was contemplating marriage versus divorce. She wrote remarks such as "Marriage Counseling", "Divorce – Coparenting", "stay in marriage?", "doubts", "opportunity to grow", "improve marriage", and "fight or flight". MACIEJEWSKA also retained printouts of a course syllabus, Rules of Civil Procedure, Child Support Guideline Worksheet, PA Superior Court Appellant decision, and a section of the legal code on Alimony.

Tpr. OLSZEWSKI walked into another bedroom and observed luggage packed with MACIEJEWSKA'S belongings. Inside the luggage was MACIEJEWSKA'S United States Passport and Polish Passport. A.G.'s belongings were laid out on a table, ready to be packed. The DEFENDANT explained MACIEJEWSKA was unable to go to Poland because it would not work logistically due to layovers. The DEFENDANT stated he could not go to Poland because of work.

Tpr. OLSZEWSKI asked the DEFENDANT when he last saw MACIEJEWSKA - he explained that he last saw MACIEJEWSKA on Monday morning as she was in a rush to leave for work. When asked about his whereabouts on the night prior, the DEFENDANT stated that he and A.G. went to the YMCA for swimming lessons and tennis lessons. Afterwards, he and A.G. went to the Movie Tavern in Exton to watch a movie. The DEFENDANT explained that MACIEJEWSKA was sick the previous week with a stomach issue but was starting to feel better that Sunday.

On 04/17/17, Tfc. Jason SPERAZZA spoke with [REDACTED] who is a former West Chester neighbor of the DEFENDANT and MACIEJEWSKA. [REDACTED] observed the DEFENDANT driving through the neighborhood in the Audi. She believed this was around the first week of April.

On 04/24/17, Tfc. SPERAZZA spoke with the DEFENDANT via telephone to ask the DEFENDANT to meet for a follow-up interview. The DEFENDANT immediately questioned the purpose of the interview, and was told that investigators needed further information to help locate MACIEJEWSKA. The DEFENDANT was hesitant to meet and provided multiple excuses for not meeting, all related to the care of A.G. The DEFENDANT eventually agreed to meet on 04/25/17 at 1100 hours. During this 04/24/17 conversation with Tfc. SPERAZZA, the DEFENDANT questioned whether police had "found the car yet" and if police could "ping the car". These statements suggest that the DEFENDANT is somewhat knowledgeable with technological investigative techniques. The DEFENDANT failed to appear for this scheduled interview at PSP Embreeville on 04/25/17 without explanation.

On 05/02/17, Tpr. Brian OLSZEWSKI interviewed [REDACTED] at their residence. The [REDACTED] have known the DEFENDANT and MACIEJEWSKA for approximately 10 years. [REDACTED] did not know MACIEJEWSKA was missing until she found out from an email at work. [REDACTED] described MACIEJEWSKA as a person concerned with being a good mother and close to her son. [REDACTED] explained she sent MACIEJEWSKA a text message on 03/29/17

that went unanswered. She thought that was odd, especially considering that MACIEJEWSKA was at home sick during this time, according to the Defendant.

██████████ asked the DEFENDANT what the last thing the DEFENDANT and MACIEJEWSKA spoke about before she went to bed on 04/09/17 (the night before she allegedly went missing). The DEFENDANT related that he could not recall because he was tired and fell asleep. ██████████ also asked the DEFENDANT what he did before he went to bed on 04/10/17 (the night she allegedly went missing). The DEFENDANT explained that he assumed MACIEJEWSKA had gone to their other home and that he was tired and fell asleep.

When MACIEJEWSKA was reported missing, the DEFENDANT added ██████████ as an individual who could pick up A.G. from daycare. The DEFENDANT has known the ██████████ for approximately 10 years and apparently trusts them enough to care for his son, yet he did not call them to check if they had seen MACIEJEWSKA when she allegedly went missing.

On 6/8/17, Trooper Brian OLSZEWSKI interviewed ██████████. At the time, ██████████ had been cleaning the MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT'S residence for approximately a year. Both described the residence as very messy, especially the master bathroom sinks. Both explained the master bathroom sinks would often have toothpaste or facial hair shavings all over, including the counters and mirror. Investigators discovered, through cell phone records, the last time both cleaners were in the residence while MACIEJEWSKA was still alive was on 03/16/17.

The next time both cleaners were at the residence was on 04/13/17. On this date, both observed that MACIEJEWSKA'S sink was so clean that it appeared that no one had used it in a while. They said the same of the DEFENDANT'S side of the sink. This struck them as odd as this was out of character for the home. ██████████ also took note of new rags in the home that day. He explained that they previously used old cleaning rags provided by the home and would wash them to reuse them. However, on that date all the old rags were gone, and new rags took their place. ██████████ knew immediately that they were new rags because they were leaving lint behind while cleaning.

Investigators searched for MACIEJEWSKA and her vehicle at places commonly known or frequented by her, and at places suggested by the DEFENDANT. This included her secondary residence, the woods that surround her primary residence, local markets, train stations, airports, schools, and routes commonly traveled by her. Investigators also checked with local hospitals and police stations for any emergency contact with MACIEJEWSKA. MACIEJEWSKA and her Audi were search for through police databases for any recent activity. Regional messages were sent throughout the law enforcement community to be on the lookout for MACIEJEWSKA as a missing person. Investigators conducted canvasses for witnesses and surveillance in both residential neighborhoods and areas frequented by MACIEJEWSKA. Investigators also spoke with friends, family, and associates of MACIEJEWSKA, some of which were provided by the DEFENDANT. Investigators utilized aviation units, human remains detection canines, and marine units multiple times in the search for MACIEJEWSKA. All efforts revealed no signs of MACIEJEWSKA.

In addition to law enforcement efforts, your affiants discovered that additional searches for MACIEJEWSKA had been initiated by volunteer search and rescue groups and concerned citizens. Pennsylvania State Police (PSP) investigators met with members of those groups, who were also unsuccessful in locating MACIEJEWSKA or any signs of MACIEJEWSKA. Private investigators were hired by MACIEJEWSKA'S family to conduct an investigative search of her whereabouts. Investigators spoke with those private investigators, who were also unsuccessful in locating MACIEJEWSKA or any signs of MACIEJEWSKA.

### ANNA MACIEJEWSKA'S VEHICLE LOCATED

On 05/08/17, members of the Pennsylvania State Police conducted a grid search that spanned approximately 150 square miles to search for MACIEJEWSKA'S vehicle, an Audi A4. Ultimately, MACIEJEWSKA'S Audi was located backed into a parking space in the Charlestown Meadows Community in Malvern, PA, in an overflow parking lot.

Charlestown Meadows is a private residential community in Charlestown Township with 191 homes. There are two (2) entrances/exits to the community. The community sits adjacent to approximately 38 acres of preserved wooded land. A walking trail runs alongside the woods and the community. The Audi was located approximately 1.9 miles away from MACIEJEWSKA'S residence, if entering the community through Ashtree Lane near where the vehicle was located. If entering the community through Sagewood Drive, the Audi is measured to be approximately 2.3 miles away from MACIEJEWSKA'S residence. The exact distance between where the Audi was located and the DEFENDANT'S residence is .93 miles, as the crow flies.

An examination of the Audi revealed that it was locked when discovered. On 05/09/23, Tpr. David BRODEUR was able to contact the DEFENDANT, through the assistance of his attorney, and obtain a set of keys to the Audi. As detailed below, during the execution of the search warrant at the DEFENDANT'S residence months later, the DEFENDANT identified a set of keys as MACIEJEWSKA'S keys before correcting himself that they were actually spare keys.

A search of the vehicle pursuant to a search warrant revealed that MACIEJEWSKA'S purse was in the trunk of the vehicle. The purse contained multiple personal items including her identification, money, and credit cards. Within her purse was a total of 39 receipts spanning between the dates of 02/11/17 and 03/28/17. All of these transactions were made locally and are typical of MACIEJEWSKA'S lifestyle. There were not any out of the ordinary purchases or purchases made outside of areas that MACIEJEWSKA frequented. The earliest receipt is for Metro Laser, which is a Med Spa in Exton, PA. The last receipt is for Parkway Dry Cleaners in West Chester, PA. There were no receipts dated after 03/28/17.

When examined, the Audi appeared to have been cleaned thoroughly. The exterior driver's side door handle had been sprayed with an unknown white substance. There was no note left by MACIEJEWSKA suggesting self-harm, or suicide. There were no obvious signs of a struggle such as visible blood stains, or items out of order, suggesting she was taken forcefully. No residents of the community had reported any disturbances or suspicious activity related to the Audi or the cul-de-sac. No residents of the community knew of MACIEJEWSKA nor saw her.

A grid search of the wooded area near the Audi was conducted over the next several days. This included the use of troopers, human remains detection canines, aviation units, and marine units. The search did not reveal any signs of Anna MACIEJEWSKA, dead or alive.

The Audi was examined further at the Pennsylvania State Police Embreeville Barracks. Tpr. David BRODEUR examined the last GPS entries on the vehicle's infotainment system, which logs user input entries for navigational purposes. Tpr. BRODEUR discovered multiple entries, none of which revealed any new locations not already known to investigators. All locations in the GPS system had been investigated or vetted. These locations were common and typical to MACIEJEWSKA'S everyday lifestyle, including her doctor's offices, salons, and personal places of interest, etc.

Tfc. Tim CONNOLLY processed MACIEJEWSKA'S vehicle for forensic evidence. As part of the search, investigators took note of the driver's seat position when the vehicle was found. MACIEJEWSKA's vehicle has a manual transmission, commonly known as a stick shift. Tfc. CONNOLLY documented as Tpr. Waleska GONZALEZ, who is a female the same height as MACIEJEWSKA (5'4"), sat in the driver's seat and was unable to fully depress the clutch, making it impossible for her to operate the vehicle. The vehicle was also checked to ensure that it does not have an electronic seat function that moves the seat in and out of place when the vehicle is turned on and off, which it does not. The DEFENDANT'S Pennsylvania driver's license has him listed as 5'7". As part of Tpr. CONNOLLY's forensic analysis, he documented that Tpr. OLSZEWSKI, who is also 5'7" tall, sat in the driver's seat and was able to push the clutch in such that he would be able to operate the vehicle.

On 05/10/17 Tpr. Brian OLSZEWSKI interviewed [REDACTED] who resides in [REDACTED] [REDACTED] where MACIEJEWSKA's vehicle was recovered. [REDACTED] and her juvenile daughter took two pictures which included the cul-de-sac where MACIEJEWSKA's vehicle was found. In the first picture, taken on 04/08/17, the Audi was not present in the parking space. In the second picture, taken on 04/17/17, MACIEJEWSKA's Audi is visible in the same parking spot where it was discovered, facing the same direction in which it was discovered.

### INFORMATION FROM VOYA

Investigators spoke with coworkers of MACIEJWESKA, who were the first to report her missing. In addition, [REDACTED] Manager of Corporate Special Investigations, [REDACTED], forwarded me a timeline of MACIEJEWSKA'S activity from [REDACTED] workplace perspective.

The preliminary timeline provided by [REDACTED] indicated that MACIEJEWSKA last spoke "in person" with someone from [REDACTED] on 03/26/17. That person was [REDACTED], her primary supervisor. All other forms of communication beyond that were through text messaging. MACIEJEWSKA'S credentials were used to log into the [REDACTED] Network from her laptop computer on 03/27/17, 03/28/17, and 03/29/17. MACIEJEWSKA'S laptop was powered down, for the last time, on 03/29/17 at 1507 hours.

[REDACTED] was interviewed by Tpr. Brian OLSZEWSKI. [REDACTED] confirmed he spoke with MACIEJEWSKA on 03/26/17. The conversation was in relation to MACIEJEWSKA

requesting off the week of 03/27/17 to travel to Poland. After the conversation MACIEJEWSKA sent a text message to [REDACTED] and requested to return from vacation on Tuesday, 04/04/17.

Tpr. OLSZEWSKI inquired about any Monday morning meetings that would cause MACIEJEWSKA to be stressed beforehand. This question was posed because the DEFENDANT claimed MACIEJEWSKA left on Monday morning in a panic without her cellphone for a meeting. [REDACTED] confirmed there are Monday morning meetings at 10:00a.m., but describe them as routine meetings.

[REDACTED] noted that he overheard an argument between MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT on the phone. He believed the argument occurred on 03/13/17. [REDACTED] asked MACIEJEWSKA what the argument was about. MACIEJEWSKA explained the argument was in relation to a "project" that the DEFENDANT shrugged off.

[REDACTED] explained he received a series of text messages from MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone between 04/03/17 and 04/05/17. On 04/03/17 [REDACTED] received a text message from MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone that she was sick and could not return to work. He received an additional text message on 04/04/17 that MACIEJEWSKA had a stomach illness. On 04/05/17 he received a final text message from MACIEJEWSKA'S phone purporting to be from MACIEJEWSKA stating that MACIEJEWSKA would return to work on 04/10/17 (Monday). Although these messages were sent from MACIEJEWSKA'S phone, based on the totality of the investigation, your affiants do not believe that MACIEJEWSKA was alive at this time, and believe that the messages were sent by the DEFENDANT from MACIEJEWSKA'S cellphone to buy him additional time before people began to question her whereabouts and go to the police.

[REDACTED] confirmed MACIEJEWSKA did not attend work on 04/10/17, and did not respond to any communications attempts. [REDACTED] spoke with the DEFENDANT on 04/11/17 at 1630 hours. At this time, the DEFENDANT informed [REDACTED] that he did not know where MACIEJEWSKA was and that she left behind her cell phone on Monday, 04/10/17.

Additionally, [REDACTED] provided four voicemails from MACIEJEWSKA'S office phone. All voicemails were from the DEFENDANT on 04/11/17. At 0823 hours, the DEFENDANT explained he was calling because she did not come home last night and was wondering where she was. At 1351 the DEFENDANT complained about receiving calls from everyone about her whereabouts. The DEFENDANT threatened to call [REDACTED] around 3PM or 4PM if he did not hear from her. At 1606 hours the DEFENDANT explained he drove by the old house looking for her. At 1618 hours the message is unintelligible other than the word "finished".

[REDACTED] also provided recent emails from MACIEJEWSKA'S [REDACTED] work email account. On 03/27/17 at 1648 hours, MACIEJEWSKA asked a coworker, [REDACTED], for a favor. She informed [REDACTED] that she would be out of town for the week and requested that on Wednesday, 03/29/17, [REDACTED] leave MACIEJEWSKA'S delivery of fresh produce with a guard for the DEFENDANT to pick up [REDACTED] acknowledged that she would do so.

On 04/10/17 at 1557 hours, [REDACTED] sent an email to movie club members, including MACIEJEWSKA. [REDACTED] recommended the movie club meet for their April meeting at I-

Pasta, a restaurant in West Chester, PA. There is no response from MACIEJEWSKA'S email account.

On 04/10/17 at 1624 hours, MACIEJEWSKA'S mother, [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA, emailed MACIEJEWSKA's work email account. The email was written in Polish and translated by Microsoft Word Translator. The translated email states:

Dear Anna, I'm sorry to write to you to work. We have no contact with you. Your cell phone is not responding and home phone also. We begin to worry. Or przyjezdziecie for Easter. Dzwonilam Allen and pleaded that thou zadzwonila home. On silent. What is going on? You do not need to hide anything from us. Terribly upset and I'm wondering whether or not you need help. We can come to you at any time. Ucalowania Mom and dad[.]

In the years following, Voya Analyst, Nancy BABCOCK, also provided the following summary for MACIEJEWSKA'S internet/network usage:

Review of MACIEJEWSKA's Internet activity on the weekend of March 25-26<sup>th</sup>, revealed that she had been using the desktop in her office at the West Chester facility to search travel sites and airlines for trips to Warsaw. She brought her laptop to the office on Saturday, but did not use it for her Internet searches. She also visited a website for the Exton Cycling Exercise club, and visited Voya related HR sites, including getting her HSA balance from Optum. One of the final entries in these proxy logs was on late Sunday evening. It showed a British Airways flight from Philadelphia to Warsaw for 3/28-4/2 for \$3490.46. There was no evidence that she was doing work related activities online or from either of her two assigned Voya computers. She did not send any emails during this time period.

MACIEJEWSKA's laptop was logged onto the Voya VPN the following week using the address and ISP that was normal for her to use; available data is not sufficient to determine the exact location for this activity, it may have been from her home. These VPN logons were during Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday afternoons, March 27-29<sup>th</sup>. Based on forensic analysis, it did not appear that she was active on her laptop for more than a couple hours and was not working other than to login to Outlook email. There was very little Internet activity from her laptop during these days, none of it was travel related, and none of it suggested any unusual activity or searches. MACIEJEWSKA's laptop disconnected from the Voya VPN and her computer was shut down for the last time at 3:07 PM EDT on Wednesday, March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2017. Forensic analysis of the laptop confirmed that it has not been turned on since that time. There was no evidence of any excessive Windows login failure attempts to suggest that anyone had tried to login without knowing the password.

MACIEJEWSKA's Outlook "Out of Office" Autoreply message was changed three times during March 24<sup>th</sup>, March 25<sup>th</sup> and again on March 28<sup>th</sup>. Each time it was changed to reflect a later return date.

The forensic analysis of Anna's laptop did not uncover any non-work-related documents from the Recycle bin, recently opened folders, or downloads. The analysis located only a few personal photos. The laptop's browser cache did not reveal any suspicious or notable websites visited, or search terms used in Browsers.

### TIMELINE PROVIDED BY MACIEJEWSKA'S FAMILY

Investigators continued to speak with family of MACIEJEWSKA as they arrived in the United States from Poland. These family members confirmed MACIEJEWSKA'S plans to travel to Poland as well as marital problems between MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT.

As discussed on page 5, on 04/12/17, MACIEJEWSKA'S nephew, [REDACTED], and MACIEJEWSKA'S mother, [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA traveled to the United States due to concerns about Anna MACIEJEWSKA'S unknown whereabouts. On 04/13/17, [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA was interviewed at PSP Embreeville by Tpr. OLSZEWSKI. [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA confirmed that Anna MACIEJEWSKA was supposed to come to Poland with A.G. to surprise Anna MACIEJEWSKA's father for his 80<sup>th</sup> birthday. [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA described Anna MACIEJEWSKA as being very excited for the trip. [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA received a text message from Anna MACIEJEWSKA on 03/28/17, which related she had an assignment at work but would still be able to come on Thursday (03/30/17) and stay for the weekend. On 03/29/17, [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA received a text message from Anna MACIEJEWSKA saying that she could not come to Poland. On 03/30/17, [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA (Anna's father) received a text message from Anna MACIEJEWSKA wishing him a happy birthday. [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA thought the text was odd because she believed MACIEJEWSKA would have normally called to wish him a happy birthday.

[REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA explained she tried to call Anna MACIEJEWSKA on her cell phone on 04/08/17, but the DEFENDANT answered MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone. The DEFENDANT explained Anna MACIEJEWSKA was not able to talk and would call back on Monday, 04/10/17. [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA believed that was odd and asked the DEFENDANT to have Anna MACIEJEWSKA call her back.

[REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA attempted to call Anna MACIEJEWSKA on her cell phone on 04/10/17, as requested by the DEFENDANT, but there was no answer. She attempted to contact MACIEJEWSKA again on her cell phone on 04/12/17. This time, the DEFENDANT answered the cell phone and explained that Anna MACIEJEWSKA has been missing 04/10/17 and was last seen going to work.

[REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA confirmed there was tension in the marriage between Anna MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT, specifically about the DEFENDANT spoiling A.G.

Anna MACIEJEWSKA'S sister [REDACTED], was interviewed over the phone as she was still in Poland. [REDACTED] added that MACIEJEWSKA wanted A.G. to carry dual citizenship whereas the DEFENDANT did not. [REDACTED] last spoke with MACIEJEWSKA the week of 03/20/17. They would speak to each other regularly.

On 05/22/17, Tpr. OLSZEWSKI interviewed MACIEJEWSKA'S uncle, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] explained that he called the DEFENDANT on 04/07/17 because [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA could not get a hold of him. The DEFENDANT informed [REDACTED] that MACIEJEWSKA was not answering her phone because she was sick and not feeling well. [REDACTED] questioned the DEFENDANT, remarking it was strange that MACIEJEWSKA was not answering her phone. The DEFENDANT again replied that MACIEJEWSKA was sick and simply not getting back to anyone. [REDACTED] also questioned the DEFENDANT about why he was not answering [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA'S phone calls. The DEFENDANT blamed having bad cell phone coverage. [REDACTED] questioned why the Defendant did not use his landline. The DEFENDANT explained the landline has not been working for a while. [REDACTED] requested to the DEFENDANT that he contact [REDACTED] MACEIJEWSKA. The DEFENDANT assured [REDACTED] that he would definitely call on 04/10/17.

After receiving no call from MACIEJEWSKA or the DEFENDANT on 04/10/17, [REDACTED] attempted to call the DEFENDANT. The DEFENDANT did not answer the call, so [REDACTED] sent a text message to him. The DEFENDANT responded he would call in 45 minutes. He then sent another text message saying he needed another 15 to 20 minutes. [REDACTED] eventually spoke with the DEFENDANT, who stated MACIEJEWSKA left the house that morning and never came back. [REDACTED] asked a friend in the United States, [REDACTED], to contact police since the DEFENDANT did not.

[REDACTED] was aware that the marriage was conflicted due to parenting styles. He was informed by his wife, [REDACTED], that MACIEJEWSKA wanted to have a discussion about divorce with the DEFENDANT, however the DEFENDANT pushed the conversation to the end of March 2017.

### 911 CALL

The 911 call made by the DEFENDANT to PSP Embreeville, which occurred on 4/12/17 beginning at 1230 hours, was recorded and transcribed below.

"PCO" - Police Communications Officer

"AG" - DEFENDANT, Allen GOULD

PCO: State Police Embreeville, PCO Thomas.

AG: Um, I, I'm not sure if this is the right number to call but, um, but do you guys service Charlestown Township?

PCO: Yes, we do.

AG: Um, yeah, my my name's Allen Gould. And and I was calling, and again I'm not sure if this is the right number, um, but, uh my, I'm not sure where my wife is. She, she uh, didn't come home from work on Monday night uh as expected, um, an-and then I, I ...contacted her, her manager at work yesterday and was able to get in touch with him at the end of the day, turns out, she actually hadn't been at work, uh, either, so, so, I, I'm quite concerned as to kind of what her situation is.

PCO: Okay .... eh, what's your address? Are you at home now?

AG: Yes.  
PCO: What's your address?  
AG: It's the [redacted] Hedgerow, that's one word, Hedgerow Lane ... it's Malvern.  
PCO: And that's Charlestown Township ....  
AG: Yes.  
PCO: And your name again?  
AG: It's Allen Gould, A-L-L-E-N. Gould, G-O-U-L-D.  
PCO: What's the telephone number for you?  
AG: Uh, I'll, I'll give you my cell phone. Its [redacted].  
PCO: [redacted]?  
AG: Yes.  
PCO: And what's your wife's name?  
AG: Uh, er ... her name, her name is Anna, A-N-N-A, ... uh, last name is Maciejewska, its M-A-C-I-E-J-E-W-S-K-A.  
PCO: Ok, and you said hasn't been seen since Monday?  
AG: ... well, uh Monday uh I, I, I, I thought Monday evening, but it turns out she wasn't at work either. I saw her Monday morning on her way out to work, and and uh, and she didn't return ... uh Monday evening. I was little worried then ... but ... uh ... then ..... which she, uh possibly might have stayed at our other house. But then, uh, when I got hold of her manager, he said she hadn't been at work either, so...  
PCO: So, you haven't seen her since Monday morning?  
AG: That's when I saw her, yes. On her way out to work.  
PCO: And has she ever done this before?  
AG: No.  
PCO: What's her date of birth?  
AG: ... uh, July 18<sup>th</sup>, 1973.  
PCO: Okay. What kind of vehicle is she driving?  
AG: Its, it's a dark blue, uh, 2011 Audi A4.  
PCO: And are you going to be there for a little bit?  
AG: ...uh today?  
PCO: Yes.  
AG: Uh, I'll, yeah, I'll be here for a ... well, is someone gonna come by in a little bit? Or, uh...  
PCO: Well, the trooper is out actually in Charlestown on another call.  
AG: Uh ... okay, yeah, um, ... I, I can be here. Uh, uh ... how-how long do I need to be here for?  
PCO: Well, I mean he's on a call in Charlestown.  
AG: Ok.  
PCO: I mean he shouldn't be, you know, too too much longer, but, I can't say, you know, he'll clear in five minutes, ten minutes.  
AG: Okay  
PCO: Okay?  
AG: Oh-okay. So, looks like he's gonna stop by, is that what you're saying?  
PCO: That's right. Once he's clear I'll send him out to your address.  
AG: Okay.  
PCO: Okay?  
AG: Oh-okay.

PCO: Alright, thank you ...oh, Sir –  
AG: Yes?  
PCO: - is she on any medications, anything like that. Has she been having any problems?  
AG: ... uh, well, she is on a couple medications.  
PCO: mm-hmm.  
AG: um, and when you say problems, I don't uh uh, she was uh, she's been treated recently for depression and anxiety.  
PCO: Okay.  
AG: Ever since she had, uh, we had, uh, she had a miscarriage in October and and kind of .... struggled with-with afterwards with that.  
PCO: Right.  
AG: So, she has be-been treated recently...uh for that...she was taking some kind of medications, uh, for it.  
PCO: Okay, okay. Alright, the trooper he'll there once he is clear from his other incident. Alright?  
AG: Okay. Okay.  
PCO: Okay thank you Sir.  
AG: Good ... thank you, bye.

Call ends.

In your affiants' training and experience, the way the DEFENDANT presents during the 911 call is not consistent with the typical behavior of loved ones when calling to report a missing person.

### MACIEJEWSKA'S PATTERNS

Members of the Pennsylvania State Police focused on identifying MACIEJEWSKA's pre-disappearance life patterns and behaviors in order to establish a "footprint" for her typical day to day activities. These patterns and behaviors included cell phone usage, financial transactions, and regularly scheduled commitments.

Investigators were able to determine that MACIEJEWSKA'S "footprint" came to an abrupt end on the night of 03/28/17, not on 04/10/17, as suggested by the DEFENDANT. Below are some of the established patterns, behaviors, and routines of MACIEJEWSKA that have ceased to exist.

- MACIEJEWSKA enrolled her son into a Polish School in Lakewood New Jersey. MACIEJEWSKA took her son to these classes nearly every Friday since enrollment. MACIEJEWSKA had classes scheduled for Friday 3/31/17, and Friday 4/7/17. MACIEJEWSKA did not appear for those classes with her son and did not provide any indication to the school that they would be absent.
- MACIEJEWSKA used her Discover card as her primary form of payment for day-to-day expenses. MACIEJEWSKA used the card consistently from December 2016 to March 2017, averaging 35 transactions a month, with an average of \$2023.63 in expenses a month. The last non-automated/preauthorized purchase MACEIJEWSKA made with the card was on 03/28/17.

- MACIEJEWSKA was a part of a women's therapy group. MACIEJEWSKA would also regularly meet with some members of this group for dinner. MEMBERS of the group have not seen or heard from MACIEJEWSKA. One of the members, [REDACTED], who met with MACIEJEWSKA regularly, had not physically seen MACIEJEWSKA since 02/19/17. [REDACTED] also communicated with MACIEJEWSKA via telephone, including over text messages. [REDACTED] has not received a text message from MACIEJEWSKA since 03/13/17. [REDACTED] messaged MACIEJEWSKA on 03/29/17 but did not receive a response. [REDACTED] messaged MACIEJEWSKA again on 04/01/17 and received a short response. [REDACTED]'s cell phone showed that the last text message she sent on 04/01/17 was "read" on MACIEJEWSKA's phone but went without a response.
- MACIEJEWSKA was enrolled in a "Divorce 101" class at Chester County Night School. The instructor [REDACTED] confirmed that MACIEJEWSKA attended the first 2 classes on 03/20/17 and 03/27/17. She did not appear for the third class on 04/03/17. [REDACTED] confirmed that MACIEJEWSKA was seeking a divorce from the DEFENDANT and that MACIEJEWSKA expressed concerns about maintaining custody of her child.
- MACIEJEWSKA was a part of a movie club where she frequently met with friends to watch movies at local theaters. MACIEJEWSKA has not had contact with any members of the movie club since her disappearance.
- MACIEJEWSKA maintained regular contact with her family and planned a trip to Poland for her father's birthday. She took off work and planned this trip for 03/28/17 to 04/04/17. MACIEJEWSKA did not arrive in Poland and did not communicate with her family as to why she canceled the trip. MACIEJEWSKA'S family last heard from her on 03/28/17 via text. In that text Anna MACIEJEWSKA explained she had something to do at work but assured she would be coming to Poland. On 03/30/17 MACIEJEWSKA'S father, [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA, received an additional text from Anna MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone wishing him a Happy Birthday. However, family members were suspicious of this text message as it contained poor Polish grammar, and they did not believe it was sent by her.
- After 04/01/17, MACIEJEWSKA received 4 text messages and 7 phone calls/voicemails from family members in Poland that went unanswered.
- After 04/01/17 MACIEJEWSKA received 21 total text messages that went without a response.
- MACIEJEWSKA had regularly scheduled appointments to get her nails done at Sharper Image in West Chester, PA, typically, every 2 weeks. MACIEJEWSKA did not show up for her scheduled appointment on 04/07/17. The last appointment she showed up for was on 03/28/17.
- MACIEJEWSKA made appointments for treatments at Metro Laser in Exton, PA, for 02/11/17, 03/27/17, and 05/13/17. MACIEJEWSKA appeared for her first two appointments but did not appear for or cancel her appointment on 05/13/17.
- MACIEJEWSKA had a regular aesthetician at Style Master's in Malvern, PA. [REDACTED] had been MACIEJEWSKA'S aesthetician for the past 4 or 5 years. [REDACTED] explained MACIEJEWSKA would schedule appointments in advance, typically every (2) weeks. [REDACTED] last saw MACIEJEWSKA about (2) weeks before she was reported missing. From 01/01/17 to 04/29/17, MACIEJEWSKA had scheduled (16) appointments. The manager of Style Masters, [REDACTED] confirmed that MACIEJEWSKA was last in the salon on 03/18/17. Her appointments on 04/06/17 and 04/08/17 were cancelled due to illness. She did not appear for appointments

scheduled for 04/20/17, 04/27/17, and 04/29/17. Prior to her disappearance, MACIEJEWSKA disclosed to [REDACTED] that she did not love the DEFENDANT anymore and that she feared the DEFENDANT would not allow her to raise A.G. with a Polish background.

- From 03/01/17 to 03/28/17, MACIEJEWSKA used her cell phone every single day. Most days she had a dozen or more incoming and ongoing phone calls. The last person to speak with MACIEJEWSKA on the phone was [REDACTED], who worked at an actuarial placement company. He spoke with MACIEJEWSKA on 03/28/17 at 1811 hours.
- MACIEJEWSKA used her cell phone primarily to communicate with the DEFENDANT. According to cell phone records, from 03/01/17 to 03/28/17, MACIEJEWSKA made a phone call with the DEFENDANT every single day except 03/19/17. The last phone call between MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT that had a duration time, meaning the call was answered, is 03/28/17 at 1402 hours. There are no connected phone calls with a duration time between MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT beyond that date.

In summation, the patterns of MACIEJEWSKA's life came to an abrupt halt on 03/29/17. The minimal activity coming from MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone after that date is inconsistent with how MACIEJEWSKA used her phone and consistent with the DEFENDANT manipulating her phone in an effort to mislead family and friends into thinking she is alive.

#### SEARCH OF DEFENDANT'S HOUSE

On 07/12/17, members of the Pennsylvania State Police and Chester County Detectives arrived at [REDACTED] Hedgerow Lane in Malvern, PA to execute a search warrant at the residence. Tpr. Dave BRODEUR and Tpr. Brian OLSZEWSKI were first to talk to the DEFENDANT at his front door. Tpr. BRODEUR stated, "We have search warrants for your residence in relation to the homicide of your wife." The DEFENDANT'S response to that statement, was "okay".

Tpr. BRODEUR then escorted the DEFENDANT to the front yard to further explain the search warrant. The DEFENDANT then asked if PSP had found his wife. Tpr. BRODEUR replied, "we are here because you murdered your wife." The DEFENDANT did not have a visible reaction. There was no proclamation of his innocence, denial of accusations, or even a request for more information.

Tpr. BRODEUR then informed the DEFENDANT that one of the warrants was to recover MACIEJEWSKA'S keys to her Audi. The DEFENDANT replied, "you have her keys," paused and then changed his statement to "well, you have my set".

Tpr. BRODEUR then informed the DEFENDANT that he figured out things that had happened, including the disposal of MACIEJEWSKA'S body and her Audi. Again, the DEFENDANT did not make any type of response or denial.

The following items were collected from the residence during the execution of the search warrant:

- A check made out to the DEFENDANT'S attorney in the amount of \$75,000. In the memo portion of the check it stated, "Trial defense if needed." The check was dated 05/16/17.

- A check made out to a private investigation firm partnered with the DEFENDANT'S attorney. In the memo portion of the check it stated, "More investigation if needed." The check was also dated 05/16/17.
- Personal and work electronics of the DEFENDANT, Anna MACIEJEWSKA, and A.G. Those items included a Motorola flip phone, Apple iPhone in a clear case, Apple iPhone 16GB, and Apple iPhone A1428. An Apple iWatch was located in a kitchen cabinet. Near the front door in a backpack was a silver MacBook Pro and Black IBM Think Pad. Troopers also located an Apple iPhone, Apple iPad Pro Tablet, LG Rebel Cell Phone, and a silver Apple I-Phone 6S. Evidence obtained from some of these electronic devices is described in further detail throughout this affidavit.
- Tpr. BRODEUR located an additional phone in the master bathroom on the master bathroom vanity next to the DEFENDANT'S wallet, keys, and work cell phone. The DEFENDANT confirmed that the cell phone was his and that he had bought it about a month ago (in mid-June) to talk to his sister<sup>1</sup>. Tpr. BRODEUR asked, "Why? Do you think we were bugging your phone?" The DEFENDANT replied, "Well yeah, I didn't know."
- Handwritten notes were located in the residence which pertained to the active investigation and document investigative steps taken by the Pennsylvania State Police. The following bullet points were taken from a list of thoughts regarding the investigation:
  - Updates – Especially Andrew – 3 Weeks nothing, can we assume they aren't or can't pursue this. So no news = Good news
  - Anna's friends – Suspicious of reasons... doesn't really add up with work letter, kind of worry about staying with them
  - What are PSP and DA Doing? Headed anywhere?
  - Facebook Quiet"
  - Bugs / Listening Devices at or for house / car
  - Nothing happened at 2 months (June 10<sup>th</sup> / other than balloon lighting); Thoughts if anything at 3 months (July 10<sup>th</sup> – a little over a week away)
- A second list appears to document activities that occurred a few weeks after MACIEJEWSKA was reported missing.
  - Update
  - Return to Work – Call
  - Mom talk w/ Trooper Brodeur
  - Weekend coincidences
  - Weekend activity, at least 3 vehicles, interview neighbors
  - Greg Auld – passwords
  - Car – 4 weeks – forensics?
- Tpr. David BRODEUR found Google translation printouts of three variations of the text message sent to MACIEJEWSKA'S father wishing him a Happy Birthday. One of those printouts contains the exact text wording sent to MACIEJEWSKA'S father on 03/30/17 from MACIEJEWSKA's cellphone. MACIEJEWSKA was a native Polish speaker that could speak, write and read the Polish language fluently. MACIEJEWSKA did not need Google translate to wish her father a Happy Birthday. This evidence suggests that at the

---

<sup>1</sup> In law enforcement, a phone like this is referred to as a "burner phone" because it is a cellular phone that is eventually disposed of and intended to increase privacy of communications between suspects and avoid detection of communications by law enforcement.

time the happy birthday text was sent, MACIEJEWSKA was not alive, and the DEFENDANT was using Google translate to generate a Happy Birthday text in Polish, which he then sent from her phone to her father, making it seem as though MACIEJEWSKA was still alive. MACIEJEWSKA would not have needed or used Google translate to compose or translate messages sent to family members, with whom she routinely communicated in her native language.

- Additional notes were discovered that suggest the DEFENDANT was keeping track of details, such as questions asked by investigators, answers he provided to investigators, answers provided to family, and other investigative activity:
  - "2011, blue, Audi, A4"; "9:45, Monday"; "5'3" - 5'4", 160 lbs., dark brown/blonde highlights, blue eyes, mole on neck".
  - Trooper Brodeur - 3:50, here for 5-10 minutes. Parked car on street uphill, (unintelligible), kind of hidden for a while. Wanted to ask me question about the car. Asked my mom some questions. How is our relationship? 2 peas in a pod. Did you know she asked for a divorce? No, it's news to me. When did you first hear about Anna disappearance? Tuesday morning.
  - "Asked if A.G. asked about mother? Just one time - about finding his mom"
  - "Trooper Jason Sperazza, [REDACTED], schedule interview, ask for help, info Anna lifestyle, 11:00"
  - "Asked if they were bugging her phone because it makes odd beep. Response - Should we be" "Could do it if you wanted to couldn't you? - He made some comment about invasion of privacy"
- A handwritten note outlining two plans of travel labeled, "Original Plan" and "New Plan". Tfc. SPERAZZA has observed legitimate vacation planning by the DEFENDANT via his cell phone and other electronic evidence. When planning a trip your DEFENDANT has often utilized online travel resources, such as online bookings for hotels, reservations for cars, airline tickets, etc. In comparison, the handwritten plans above suggest the DEFENDANT is looking to complete the trip quickly and undetected. The note reads as follows:

*Original Plan: Sunday Night - Tuesday Night (3 Nights)*

*Leave Sunday Afternoon*

*Come back Tuesday Evening or Wednesday Morning*

*New Plan: Monday Night - Tuesday or Wednesday Night (2-3 Night)*

*Drive down Monday morning (arrive Monday during day)*

*Drive back Wednesday evening (maybe Thursday \_\_\_\_\_)*

#### **EVIDENCE FROM HARD DRIVE AND "BURNER PHONE"**

During the search of DEFENDANT'S residence, a Lacie external hard drive was recovered. Analysis of that hard drive revealed that the DEFENDANT conducted an online search for a criminal defense lawyer on 04/24/17 and 04/25/17. Below is a timeline of research the DEFENDANT conducted, websites he visited, combined with phone calls that he made.

The name of the attorney and law firm have been redacted below.

On 04/24/17:

- 1811-1854: Searched on Google, "defense attorney's chester county, pa".
- 1811: "Top Criminal Defense Attorney's in Chester County, PA" (Find Law)
- 1814: "Top Criminal Defense Attorney's in Chester County, PA" (Find Law)
- 1816: Chester County Criminal Lawyers – Compare Top Criminal Attorney's in Chester County
- 1816: Malvern Criminal Lawyers – Compare Top Criminal Attorney's in Malvern
- 1820: West Chester Criminal and Personal Injury Attorneys
- 1820: (name of law firm)
- 1821: Notable Criminal and Personal Injury Cases – Law Offices of (name of law firm)
- 1822: West Chester Criminal Defense Attorney – Arrests and Charges

On 04/25/17:

- 1239: Searched on Google, "(name) defense attorney".
- 1243:03-1243:04: Visited (name)'s Twitter Page. Visited the link for "Violent Crimes Strangulation". 10 seconds later he clicked on the link.
  - The article describes the legal definition of strangulation in Pennsylvania and how it is important to contact an attorney if you are being accused of strangulation.
- 1243:58: Navigates back to the (law firm) Twitter Page. About a minute later visited the "Violent Crimes Strangulation" link within a post on the Twitter feed.
- 1529:14: Searched on Google, "(name) defense attorney".
- 1529:51: Visited Daily Local article, "Judge rejects plea in pastor sex case".
  - This attorney represented the defendant that is the topic of the article.
- 1530: Searched on Google "(name) cases".
- 1531: Visited article "State Supreme Court vacates death sentence in chain-saw murder case".
  - This is also about a case where this attorney represented the Defendant.

This search history is significant, in your affiants' training and experience, because there has never been an accusation that MACIEJEWSKA was strangled, yet the DEFENDANT visited pages about violent crimes and strangulation.

The "burner phone" recovered from the DEFENDANT during the execution of the search warrant was forensically examined and imaged. Tfc. SPERAZZA discovered that the phone was activated on 06/19/17 at 1455 hours. A total of 25 calls were incoming or outgoing to [REDACTED], the DEFENDANT's sister. A total of 37 SMS messages were sent between [REDACTED] and the DEFENDANT. Besides two phone calls to Main Line Health, it does not appear the DEFENDANT used the phone for any other purpose other than to communicate with [REDACTED].

Notably, there is an incoming VOIP (Voice Internet Protocol) message providing the link to a Coatesville homicide conviction. The sender of that message is currently unknown because the sender is using a VOIP Number. The article is from the Daily Local and titled "Another Conviction in 6-Year-Old Coatesville Shooting Case. This article explained the offender in that case was

found guilty of murder and faced 20 to 40 years in prison. This verdict took place 6 years after the initial incident.

### MISSING TARP

On 05/25/17, Tfc. SPERAZZA investigated purchases made by the DEFENDANT at local stores, specifically for items that could have been used to dispose of the remains of MACIEJEWSKA. Tfc. SPERAZZA spoke with [REDACTED], who was the Loss Prevention Officer at Home Depot in Frazer, PA. [REDACTED] was able to produce record of a transaction conducted on 05/21/16 by the DEFENDANT. On that day, the DEFENDANT purchased three 16' x 20' Blue Hawk tarps.

On 06/05/17, Tpr. Michael SNYDER and Tfc. SPERAZZA interviewed one of the DEFENDANT'S neighbors, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] related that she only spoke to the DEFENDANT and MACIEJEWSKA a few times in the neighborhood, and rarely ever saw them or A.G. outside of the residence doing anything. [REDACTED] explained that during the first week of April, she observed a blue tarp on the DEFENDANT'S property. The tarp was laid out as if it was drying on the back exterior steps of the residence and railings of those steps. [REDACTED] believed it was there for a couple of days before it was removed. [REDACTED] had never seen a blue tarp covering anything in the yard prior to that day.

On 06/05/17, Tpr. SNYDER and Tfc. SPERAZZA interviewed one of the DEFENDANT'S neighbors, [REDACTED]. In her interview, [REDACTED] said that she observed DEFENDANT dragging a garden hose across his garage. She believed this occurred either on April 5<sup>th</sup> or April 6<sup>th</sup>. [REDACTED] also described the DEFENDANT as socially awkward and explained that she has had little to no prior interactions with the DEFENDANT.

On 07/20/17, members executed a search warrant on the DEFENDANT'S residence. Two of the three tarps were recovered from the garage. The 3<sup>rd</sup> tarp was never located. It was also not located in the West Chester townhome.

### HUMAN REMAINS DETECTION CANINE ALERTS

Tfc. David LOCK and his canine Cratos, a human remains detection canine, were requested during the search of the DEFENDANT'S residence and during the search of MACIEJEWSKA'S Audi.

Cratos was certified through the Pennsylvania State Police, Bureau of Emergency Services and Special Operations, and the Federal Bureau of Investigations, Laboratory Division. Cratos had been certified as a human remains detection canine since June of 2012. Cratos was certified annually, including on 5/16/17 and 5/17/17. Cratos was also certified through the U.S. Customs and Border Protection and the National Search Dog Alliance. Cratos was certified in the detection of the following odors of human remains: bone, soft tissue, decomposition fluid, and blood.

On 07/12/17 at 0833 hours Tfc. David LOCK and Cratos searched the DEFENDANT'S home. Cratos showed alert behavior to human remains in the area of the northeast corner of the property. Tfc. LOCK conducted a search of that area and found the soil to have been disturbed. He noted that the disturbed soil was slightly higher than the soil around it. Tpr. LOCK then observed what appeared to be a small piece of tarp and burnt debris mixed into the soil.

On 9/6/17 at 0735 hours, Tfc. David LOCK and Cratos searched the interior and exterior of MACIEJEWSKA's 2011 Audi A4. Cratos alerted to the positive presence of the odor of either blood, bone, tissue, or decomposition fluid within a specific area inside the trunk of the vehicle. As a result, Tpr. David BRODEUR obtained a search warrant for a forensic examination of Audi, specifically the area in which Cratos alerted. Various pieces of materials from the trunk area were taken and sampled for forensic examination.

### AUDI BATTERY DOWNLOAD

As detailed above, the DEFENDANT consistently maintained to both law enforcement, friends, and family of MACIEJEWSKA that she left the residence on 4/10/17 at 0945 in her 2011 Audi A4. The investigation has established that this is false, as the vehicle was not even started on 4/10/17. On 07/06/17, Tfc. Colm HIRSCHMAN obtained a search warrant to download any stored data contained within MACIEJEWSKA'S vehicle. On 07/10/17, Tpr. David BRODEUR completed a download of the historical data stored within the vehicle diagnostic software. Specifically, Tpr. BRODEUR obtained the "Last 20 Trips" and "Last 20 standing times." From that data, investigators determined the following:

- The vehicle was not started on 4/10/17.
- The last two trips occurred on 04/11/17 at 0130 hours with a total trip time of 9.6 minutes and 04/09/17 at 1636 hours with a total trip time of 16.2 minutes. It should be noted that this is consistent with how long it would take to travel from the DEFENDANT's residence to the location where the Audi was found.
- After each trip, the vehicle logs a separate entry for "standing time" (key off/vehicle off). After the 9.6-minute trip on 4/11/17 at 0130 hours, the vehicle logged a standing time at 0143 hours, for 822.5 hours. There are no trip logs or standing time logs after 4/11/17 at 0143 hours until 5/15/17 at 1019 hours (822.5 hours later), when investigators located the vehicle and it came into PSP custody. This data indicates that the vehicle was moved to its final location at 0130 hours on 4/11/17 and was not started again until the vehicle came into PSP custody on 05/15/17.
- The DEFENDANT stated to Tpr. Brian OLSZEWSKI that, to the best of his knowledge, MACIEJEWSKA did not leave their residence from 4/4/17 until she left for work on 4/10/17. The DEFENDANT also reported to MACIEJEWSKA's family members that she was too sick to come to the phone; however, the following trips were also captured within the "Last 20 Trips" of the vehicle diagnostic log:
  - 4/5/17 at 2231 hours, vehicle runs for 36 seconds.
  - 4/4/17 at 1503 hours, vehicle runs for 4.8 minutes.
  - 4/4/17 at 1448 hours, vehicle runs for 2.8 minutes.
  - 4/4/17 at 1315 hours, vehicle runs for 10.2 minutes.
  - 4/4/17 at 1256 hours, vehicle runs for 17.4 minutes.
  - 4/4/17 at 1246 hours, vehicle runs for 9 minutes.
  - 4/4/17 at 1242 hours, vehicle runs for 2.4 minutes.
  - 4/4/17 at 0415 hours, vehicle runs for 51.6 minutes.
  - 4/4/17 at 0400 hours, vehicle runs for 4.2 minutes.
  - 4/4/17 at 0311 hours, vehicle runs for 41.4 minutes.

- 4/4/17 at 0227 hours, vehicle runs for 6 minutes.
- 4/4/17 at 0118 hours, vehicle runs for 4.2 minutes.
- 4/4/17 at 0047 hours, vehicle runs for 13.8 minutes.
- 4/3/17 at 1528 hours, vehicle runs for 3.6 minutes.
- 4/3/17 at 1436 hours, vehicle runs for 40.2 minutes.

Per MACIEJEWSKA's cell phone records, during all of the above recorded trips, MACIEJEWSKA's cell phone did not leave her residence. In contrast, the DEFENDANT's phone is active on these dates and times and does leave the residence.

### EXAMINATION OF MACIEJEWSKA'S MEDICAL HISTORY

In July of 2020, Tfc. SPERAZZA obtained a search warrant for medical records of MACIEJEWSKA. The purpose of this search warrant was to investigate any medical conditions that may have led to a cause of death. A review of the medical records revealed there were no sudden illnesses, long term/chronic illnesses, medical conditions, medical complications, or thoughts of suicide that would have led to the death of MACIEJEWSKA. The medical records establish that MACIEJEWSKA was otherwise healthy. In support of that statement are the following facts obtained from MACIEJEWSKA'S medical records.

- A chest x-ray was conducted on 02/11/11 due to a cough and fatigue. No active disease was discovered, and size of the heart was found to be normal. These are the same results as a study done in 2004.
- Testing during her pregnancy was conducted on 10/25/12. The testing revealed negative results for Cystic Fibrosis, HIV, Hepatitis B, and Rubella.
- Consultation reported on 05/20/13 that at age 39 no history of smoking, alcohol use, marijuana or illicit drugs.
- On 05/22/13 an Edinburgh Post Natal Depression Form Scale 1 was completed by MACIEJEWSKA. MACIEJEWSKA scored in the normal ranges and answered that she has never thought of harming herself.
- A form dated 05/20/13 revealed at age 39, MACIEJEWSKA had no medical history or infectious history.
- There were no medical records from the Spring of 2017 demonstrating that MACIEJEWSKA sought out a doctor for the serious stomach illness that the DEFENDANT alleged she was suffering from.

On 03/27/19, Tfc. SPERAZZA briefly spoke with Dr. [REDACTED] at Main Line Health Center Family Medicine, Exton, PA. [REDACTED] recalled MACIEJEWSKA as a patient. He did not recall any severe illnesses or medical conditions that would cause death.

On 04/23/19, Tfc. SPERAZZA interviewed Dr. [REDACTED] at Raynor & Associates in Malvern PA. Dr. [REDACTED] first met MACIEJEWSKA during her first pregnancy with A.G. Dr. [REDACTED] reported no complications from that pregnancy. Dr. [REDACTED] also treated MACIEJEWSKA during her miscarriage in October of 2016. Dr. [REDACTED] did not cite any concerns which led to the miscarriage or complications after the miscarriage that would cause alarm or concern. MACIEJEWSKA attended a standard post operation visit on 11/22/2016, which is the last time Dr. [REDACTED] saw MACIEJEWSKA. After this, Dr. [REDACTED] did not

receive any phone calls or complaints from MACIEJEWSKA. Dr. [REDACTED] stated that during a visit with a different provider on 6/1/16, the provider noted that MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT were estranged.

### PLANS AND PREPARATIONS TO DIVORCE THE DEFENDANT

Tfc. SPERAZZA learned throughout this investigation that MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT did not see "eye to eye" on a variety of things within their household and marriage. At the time of her disappearance, MACIEJEWSKA had a plan to confront the DEFENDANT about a divorce, separate from the DEFENDANT, and maintain shared custody of A.G. MACIEJEWSKA took significant steps to educate and empower herself to prepare to confront the DEFENDANT about a divorce. This included conducting research on her personal devices, speaking to friends and associates about her thoughts and feelings, and seeking professional advice from therapists and counselors.

MACIEJEWSKA disclosed her feelings, beliefs, and intentions to friends and acquaintances within her Women's Support Group:

- [REDACTED] was identified as an associate of MACIEJEWSKA'S at the Women's Emotional Wellness Center. MACIEJEWSKA revealed to [REDACTED] in group therapy that she was depressed because of her miscarriage and marriage. MACIEJEWSKA openly spoke about how she wanted to get a divorce and end her marriage. MACIEJEWSKA revealed in group therapy that she spoke to the DEFENDANT about the divorce, that he disagreed, and MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT scheduled a day in the future to further talk about divorce. FAFARMAN deduced that MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT disagreed on how to raise their son and her overall life at home, and that MACIEJEWSKA felt that the DEFENDANT kept her from having the relationship she wanted with her son.
- [REDACTED] an acquaintance of MACIEJEWSKA at the Women's Emotional Wellness Center, reported that MACIEJEWSKA told her that she had scheduled time with the DEFENDANT on 03/27/17 to discuss divorce. [REDACTED] explained that MACIEJEWSKA was not happy with her marriage and worried that she would lose her son in a custody battle. MACIEJEWSKA worried that the DEFENDANT would argue that she was not fit to be a mother due to her depression.
- On 02/05/17 at 1043 hours, MACIEJEWSKA sent a group message to her friends in her women's support group. The message stated, "I am not doing well today. I am sitting in bed crying. The DEFENDANT took [A.G.] swimming to YMCA. Any advice? Anna".
- The same day, at 1104 hours, MACIEJEWSKA replied in the group message, "...I am embarrassed to say that I am upset with my husband. He says that I am important to him, he wants to help me etc, but I do not see anything in his actions. Actually, things he does/say annoy me even more. It is difficult to explain. Last night I was planning to go swimming today, but this morning I was low on energy. Not only did Allen not make any effort to help me out to get going, he was short on time himself so he was stressed and not pleasant when I was trying to get [A.G.] dressed for swimming. It probably does not make sense what I am saying... Why I didn't see all the red flags before we had children? I feel like such a loser.."

An examination of Anna MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone revealed communications between herself and the DEFENDANT. Below are messages that suggest that, contrary to the DEFENDANT'S claims, there were significant issues within the marriage:

- 03/06/16 at 2112 hours: "The moment I walk in here I am depressed you wasted 10 years of my life, my things are destroyed I missed on life experiences I will never get back, never will have a chance to experience again"
- 03/06/16 at 2115 hours: "I lost my voice, do not make me speak, listen to me when I talk – do not make me repeat things bc you do not listen to me"
- On 03/06/16 at 2147 hours: "I am suffering"
- On 04/04/16 at 1054 hours: "We are wasting a lot of time talking bc we talk randomly here and there instead of sitting down and talking while having time to do so"
- On 04/04/16 at 1055 hours: "I am not getting any better – very angry at you and I can't move forward. Need to do something to get out of that state"
- On 02/05/17:
  - AM: where r you?
  - AG: Tennis
  - AM: what are you doing after and WHAT TIME?
  - AG: Going to eat. Usually takes up to half hour to get out. Haven't decided on place.
  - AG: Did you want to meet to eat!
  - AM: I need help! And I cannot count on you!
  - AG: You can count on me – I want to help you sweetie.
  - AM: You are saying it but never see any actions. I am telling you I feel so bad I may hurt myself and instead of running home to be with me, you say we need to find good time to talk.
  - AM: I was counting on you to work on refinancing the house (now rates went up), on taking care of table renovation, washing the pot so I can cook a soup, on measuring the room, on having a friend, an intellectual partner, sex partner, the list is huge. In the meantime you "cleaned" and I need yo look for my medication before I can take it. No surprise ai missed it fir a couple days. We do NOT work together.
  - AM: I do not want to hear any excuses to any of these or whose fault it is. I am talking about results. We are not a team!!! I was driving you for 5 years to train station, we are driving a car that is on its last legs. A.G. is missing most important part of his preschool almost every day, we live on his schedule instead he lives on ours, he wants something he gets it (e.g. 7 pumpkins), but in life you cannot have everything you want and one needs to learn to make decisions. We wasting so much cmoney and time (spending \$100 for overnight shipping while we already pay \$100 a year for free 2-day shipping; paying \$300 a month for comcast on the old house etc) I am a shell of a person and walking on eggshells in my own home.

An examination of MACIEJEWSKA'S online search history revealed that she visited over 150 websites pertaining to divorce, signs of emotional abuse, psychological abuse, emotional blackmail, emotional abuse, narcissistic personality disorder, domestic abuse vs. normal marital conflict, and how to divorce an emotionally abusive husband. Parsed Search Queries include:

- 01/28/17 - "I Cannot recover from depression in my household environmen"
- 02/02/17 - "why marriages fail"

- 02/02/17 - "spousal support in pa"
- 02/05/17 - "depression in hospital"
- 02/05/17 - "certified divorce coach"
- 02/05/17 - "who gets house in divorce"
- 02/05/17 - "pa divorce alimony"
- 02/05/17 - "who gets house in divorce in pa"
- 02/05/17 - "who gets house in divorce in pa"
- 02/05/17 - "I cannot recover from depression in my household environment"
- 02/10/17 - "emotional abuse signs"
- 02/10/17 - "symptoms od"
- 02/10/17 - "symptoms of abuse in relationships"
- 02/12/17 - "how to divorce an emotional abuser"

As a result of these searches, MACIEJEWSKA visited many websites that provided her guidance on her failing marriage. Tfc. SPERAZZA visited some of these websites, as the URL was documented in MACIEJEWSKA'S web site history:

- On 02/01/17 at 2328 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled, "How a Verbal Abuser Manipulates Via Communication".
- On 02/01/17 at 2330 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled, "How To Identify and Respond to Verbal Abuse in Your Relationship, Verbal abuse doesn't belong in a loving relationship". MACIEJEWSKA used the exact phrase, "walking on eggshells", when discussing her feelings to the DEFENDANT on 02/05/17. This is a phrase taken directly from the article.
- On 02/01/17 at 2331 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled "Defining the Different Types of Covert Domestic Abuse, A slap or a slammed door may both constitute abuse depending on circumstances".
- On 02/01/17 at 2340 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled "Passive Aggressive Behaviors in Marriage".
- On 02/02/17 at 0054 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled, "5 Reasons Why Marriages Fail In Year 5".
- On 02/02/17 at 2244 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled "10 signs of a poor communicator".
- On 02/05/17 at 1154 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled "Using the 17 Factors of Alimony in PA in Divorce Mediation".
- On 02/05/17 at 1155 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website to request consultation for Main Line Family Law Center.
- On 02/05/17 at 1228 hours MACEIJEWSKA visited a website which offers help to people contemplating suicide. The webpage provided a link which stated, "Find helpful suggestions for things to do right now if you are contemplating suicide". At 1228 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited the link for helpful suggestions. The webpage gives 16 recommendations to include talking about your feelings and sharing your thoughts, fears, anger, disappointment, and sorrow. One bullet point provided a link which stated, "Find additional suicide prevention resources pertaining to your situation in the Suicide Prevention Help - Global Web Directory or help others by suggesting a site for inclusion

in the directory". At 1229 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited the link for the Global Web Directory.

- At 1231 hours, as suggested by the article, MACIEJEWSKA began to discuss her feelings and thoughts with the DEFENDANT via text message. See above.
- On 02/05/17 at 1554 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled "9 Ways to Take Care of Yourself When You Have Depression". One recommendation is to "avoid people who are toxic".
- On 02/05/17 at 2224 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a webpage is for Hanna PERLBERGER, Certified Divorce Coach, Greater Philadelphia Area.
- On 02/10/17 at 1216 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled, "I Save Myself From an Emotionally Abusive Man (And You Can, Too).
- On 02/10/17 at 1917 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled, "61 Devastating Signs of Emotional Abuse In A Relationship".
- On 02/11/17 at 0842 hours MACIEJEWSKA searched on www.yahoo.com, "livingthrudivorce". That led her to URL <https://www.livingthrudivorce.com/#/>. She then visited the "services" section on the website.
- On 02/12/17 at 1002 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled "Divorce and Emotional Abuse".
- On 02/13/17 at 0122 hours MACIEJEWSKA searched on www.yahoo.com, "how to divorce emotionally abusive husband", and visited a website titled, "16 Sure Fire Signs Your Relationship is Emotionally Abusive".
- On 02/13/17 at 0129 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled, "Setting Boundaries with the Midlife Crisis Spouse".
- On 02/18/17 at 2329 hours MACIEJEWSKA visited a website titled, "37 Warnings Signs Of Emotional Abuse in a Relationship".

An examination of MACIEJEWSKA'S notes from her cell phone revealed two lists which addressed issues, concerns, and conflicts in her marriage with the DEFENDANT.

A list created on 09/11/16:

- Passive opposition
- Polish Passport for Andrew before new baby
- Polish language
- Behavior
- No biting, beating
- No running on parking lot, holding hand
- Walking, no carrying
- Active participant
- Limit sugar in his diet
- Juice – special occasions only
- No sweet pastry for breakfast, bread with instead
- Kabanosy for breakfast
- Do not destroy my experience of being pregnant, do not take over everything food – I used to cook – add salt, sauce
- I set up schedule, food, and you adhere to it

- Do not give anything without checking with me

A list created on 02/15/17:

- Excuses
- Apologies
- Everyone does this or that
- Everyone speaks like that
- Changing topic
- Not answering the question
- Not listening, wasting my time
- Shutting me down
- Accusing me that I am offending everybody
- Accusing me of bad intentions
- Accusing me of not being fair

As referenced above, [REDACTED] was identified as Anna MACIEJEWSKA'S divorce coach. [REDACTED] recalled Anna MACIEJEWSKA and the Divorce 101 class that was held at the Chester County Night School in West Chester, PA. [REDACTED] first explained there were only 3 or 4 people in the class including Anna MACIEJEWSKA. He specifically recalled MACIEJEWSKA inquiring about maintaining custody of her child in Poland and her ability to remove her child from the United States under PA law. At the time, [REDACTED] explained to MACIEJEWSKA that any time a parent wants to remove a child for the purpose of custody the decision would be made by a judge and would likely be an uphill battle.

[REDACTED] explained MACIEJEWSKA showed up for all her classes except her third and final class. Shortly after that, he was contacted by the police (Tpr. OLSZEWSKI) because MACIEJEWSKA was reported missing. The last time he saw or heard from MACIEJEWSKA was the end of the second class when walking out to the parking lot with her and another student. While walking to the parking lot, [REDACTED] asked MACIEJEWSKA if her husband knew she was attending Divorce 101 and she related that he did not. Looking back on that, [REDACTED] believed that was odd because Tpr. OLSZEWSKI had previously informed him that his class syllabus was initially discovered laying out in the open in the residence. It led him to believe that the DEFENDANT was in fact aware at some point of the Divorce 101 class.

In an interview on 09/28/18, Tfc. Chad ROBERTS interviewed a friend of MACIEJEWSKA'S, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] informed Tpr. ROBERTS that he had a previous conversation with MACIEJEWSKA. During that conversation MACIEJEWSKA disclosed that she had spoken with the DEFENDANT about A.G. having a Polish Passport and dual citizenship. [REDACTED] then learned that this had become a point of contention between MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT because the DEFENDANT feared he would have no parental rights if A.G. went to Poland.

Based on the totality of the investigation, your affiants believe that MACIEJEWSKA was making preparations to divorce the DEFENDANT, financially, logistically, and emotionally.

## MACIEJEWSKA'S CELL PHONE MOVEMENT

The DEFENDANT's cell phone location data revealed that his cellphone was at John Pancott Gymnastics on 04/06/17. MACIEJEWSKA's cell phone location data places her cellphone at the same location at the same date and time. A check of surveillance footage from the relevant period shows the DEFENDANT at the facility with A.G. for a scheduled practice, however, MACIEJEWSKA is not shown in any of the surveillance videos. While the DEFENDANT is present at the Gymnastics gym (and while MACIEJEWSKA's cellphone is present at the gym) there are outgoing messages sent from MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone. [REDACTED] from Pancott Gymnastics stated that she had not seen MACIEJEWSKA since the week of 02/20/17. MACIEJEWSKA's cellphone being present at the gym on 4/6/17, at which point in time your affiants believe she is dead, is consistent with the DEFENDANT taking MACIEJEWSKA'S cellphone with him and manipulating the device in order for it to appear as though MACIEJEWSKA is still alive.

Similarly, MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone data shows her device present at A.G.'s school on 03/30/17 at 1010 hours. The Director of A.G.'s school, [REDACTED], said she had not seen MACIEJEWSKA for "a week or two" prior to her being reported missing. No surveillance footage was available, but logs that showed that MACIEJEWSKA did not sign A.G. into school that day. Instead, the DEFENDANT used his access card at 1017 and 1018 hours that day. Again, MACIEJEWSKA's cellphone being present at the school on 3/30/17, at which point in time your affiants believe she is dead, is consistent with the DEFENDANT taking MACIEJEWSKA's cellphone with him.

## MACIEJEWSKA'S COMMITMENTS

MACIEJEWSKA had many commitments in her life that your affiants have categorized into three groups, financial commitments, proprietary commitments, and personal/emotional commitments. Your affiants learned throughout this investigation that MACIEJEWSKA was a successful and organized individual in her professional and personal life.

### A. Financial Commitments

MACIEJEWSKA held numerous savings and investment accounts solely in her name. These accounts contained large amounts of money that someone would not abandon. Examination of these accounts also prove that MACIEJEWSKA the financial means to separate from the DEFENDANT. The funds identified are:

- Brio Direct (DP) Online Savings account had a balance of \$20,964.73 in April of 2017.
- PNC Interest Checking account had a balance of \$18,306.24 in April of 2017.
- Capital One Investing account had a balance of \$222,947.90 in April of 2017.
- Capital One Checking account had a balance of \$101,917.20 in April of 2017.

Your affiants also learned that MACIEJEWSKA maintained a strong credit score, was on top of paying bills, scheduling payment of bills, and assessing how finances were spent within the household. Your affiants learned MACIEJEWSKA had credit accounts with remaining balances

that went unpaid during her reported disappearance. This would have been out of character for MACIEJEWSKA.

- Discover credit – Remaining balance of \$2,415.35 in April of 2017.
- Chase credit – Remaining balance of \$2,845.00 in April of 2017
- American Express – Remaining balance of \$5,361.00 in April of 2017

#### **B. Proprietary Commitments**

MACIEJEWSKA owned several properties. Your affiants learned through MACIEJEWSKA'S personal notes that she was researching the division of marital property as she was preparing for a divorce, including the distribution of their homes. For example, MACIEJEWSKA searched online, "who gets the house in divorce in PA". As detailed below, MACIEJEWSKA owned a property in Poland, and two properties jointly with the DEFENDANT, one in West Chester and one in Malvern.

- Warsaw Apartment – MACIEJEWSKA has owned an apartment in Warsaw, Poland since her childhood. Since her reported disappearance, family members have been taking care of the apartment and checking the apartment. There have been no signs of MACIEJEWSKA, and all the rent has been paid for by MACIEJEWSKA's parents since she was reported missing.
- 675 Metro Court in West Chester, PA, is a two-story town home, end unit, in a largely populated town home community. This property is in the name of MACIEJEWSKA. MACIEJEWSKA lived at this residence alone prior to meeting the DEFENDANT. Once she met the DEFENDANT, they resided in this home together for at least 6 years.
- 10 Hedgerow Lane in Malvern, PA, is a two-story custom home designed by both MACIEJEWSKA and the DEFENDANT. The DEFENDANT currently lives at this residence.

These properties have been searched with no signs of MACIEJEWSKA. The properties in West Chester and Warsaw are now a financial burden and do not reflect how MACIEJEWSKA handled her affairs. Your affiants also learned that MACIEJEWSKA argued with the DEFENDANT about cleaning out and moving completely out of the residence in West Chester. This was another point of conflict in the marriage as the DEFENDANT did not make any effort on moving out of the residence, causing further frustration in the marriage.

#### **C. Personal Property**

Nearly all of MACIEJEWSKA'S personal property was accounted for in her residence or Audi A4 at the time of her disappearance. The only items that are unaccounted for are any clothing or jewelry that she was wearing at the time of her murder, and the keys to her Audi A4. The fact that practically all of her belongings were left behind does not support the DEFENDANT'S allegation that MACIEJEWSKA just left for work and never came home, but rather that she was a victim of foul play. MACIEJEWSKA planned on getting a divorce and continuing her already established life with her son – there is no evidence to support the assertion that she was preparing to erase everything that she accomplished and owned to secretly start over.

The personal items accounted for include:

- Clothing
- Audi A4
- Purse
- Driver's License
- Passports
- Debit/Credit Cards
- Health Care Cards
- Medications
- Make up/cosmetics
- Cell Phone
- Computers

**D. Personal Commitments**

MACIEJEWSKA made personal commitments in her life to herself, family, and friends before her alleged disappearance. Those commitments went unfulfilled and/or uncanceled during the time the DEFENDANT claims that MACIEJEWSKA was supposedly so sick she could not talk on the phone. Commitments that were broken include, but are not limited to, the following:

- MACIEJEWSKA made the commitment to visit her father on his 80<sup>th</sup> birthday in Poland. MACIEJEWSKA had intended to bring A.G. with her to Poland. Based on interviews with MACIEJEWSKA'S friends, the DEFENDANT did not want A.G. to be connected to his Polish heritage or be a part of Polish Culture. MACIEJEWSKA never arrived in Poland and never communicated with anyone that she could not travel to Poland. The following facts support the commitment by MACIEJEWSKA to travel to Poland with A.G.:
  - MACIEJEWSKA set up an "Out of Office" response message on her Microsoft Outlook. The message stated, "I am currently out of office. I will return on Tuesday, April 4<sup>th</sup>. I will respond to your message when I return. If you need immediate attention, please contact [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] or at [REDACTED]. Kind Regards, Anna". This away message was set up on Tuesday, 03/28/17 at 1627 hours, from MACIEJEWSKA'S work laptop.
  - MACIEJEWSKA'S mother, [REDACTED], was interviewed. [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA confirmed that MACIEJEWSKA was supposed to come to Poland with her son, A.G. to surprise her father for his 80<sup>th</sup> birthday. [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA described MACIEJEWSKA as being very excited for the trip. [REDACTED] MACIEJEWSKA received a text message from MACIEJEWSKA on 03/28/17 which related she had an assignment at work but would still be able to come on Thursday (03/30/17) and stay for the weekend. In fact, an examination of MACIEJEWSKA'S work laptop revealed that she used it to search travel sites and airline trips to Warsaw, Poland. On 03/26/17 MACIEJEWSKA had selected a specific flight from Philadelphia to Warsaw, leaving on 03/28/17 and returning on 04/02/17. This specific flight was added to the websites, "shopping cart" but not completed. The flight appeared to be a round-trip flight for one person totaling \$3490.46.

- MACIEJEWSKA was supposed to return to work from her trip to Poland on 04/03/17. MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone was used to contact [REDACTED] on 04/03/17 and 04/05/17, claiming that MACIEJEWSKA had stomach issues. On 04/05/17, MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone sent DUESLAR another text that she would return to work on 04/10/17.
- MACIEJEWSKA joined a Pilates Fusion class organized through the Chester County Night School. The classes were to run between the dates of 03/21/17 to 05/02/17, every Tuesday. MACIEJEWSKA attended the classes on 03/21 and 03/28. She did not attend the next class on 04/04, or any of the other remaining classes. The instructor and class members did not have any communication with MACIEJEWSKA beyond the date of 03/28/17.
- MACIEJEWSKA was a part of a movie club that met monthly. MACIEJEWSKA received an invitation via group email about the next movie club date. That invite was sent on 03/11/17 by [REDACTED]. The group had agreed to meet at I-Pasta in West Chester on 04/13/17. [REDACTED] sent confirmation again on 04/10/17 to the seven (7) members that committed to going. MACIEJEWSKA was a part of that group message, however, did not reply, and did not show up.
- MACIEJEWSKA had a consistent nail technician at Sharper Image Salon in West Chester, PA. [REDACTED] had been doing MACIEJEWSKA'S nails for the past 15 years, every two (2) weeks. The last time MACIEJEWSKA had an appointment with [REDACTED] was on 03/28/17. During that appointment, MACIEJEWSKA appeared happy and had disclosed to [REDACTED] that she was leaving for Poland tomorrow (03/29/17) with her son A.G. MACIEJEWSKA'S next scheduled appointment was on 04/07/17 at 1200 hours. [REDACTED] explained that on 04/07/17 at 1100 hours, exactly one hour before her appointment, the DEFENDANT called the salon and spoke with the receptionist. The DEFENDANT informed the receptionist that MACIEJEWSKA was sick with a stomach bug and would not be making her appointment. [REDACTED] explained in her (15) years with MACIEJEWSKA, no one has ever called on her behalf. [REDACTED] explained that MACIEJEWSKA has cancelled appointments in the past but has done so herself.
- MACIEJEWSKA enrolled her son into a Polish School located in New Jersey. MACIEJEWSKA strongly believed her son should grow up with a connection to his Polish cultural background and language. Tfc. SPERAZZA spoke with [REDACTED], the director of the Polish school. [REDACTED] related that MACIEJEWSKA would bring her son to class once a week, every Friday. The classes would be 3 hours long. A.G. showed perfect attendance until Friday 3/31/17 and Friday 4/7/17, when A.G. did not appear for those classes. These were unexcused absences as there was no prior communication from MACIEJEWSKA.
- On 04/06/17, there was a Google search for "Style Masters". The user then navigated to the online scheduling section to cancel MACIEJEWSKA'S next appointment. An examination of MACIEJEWSKA'S cell phone revealed that she had the phone number for Style Masters listed in her cell phone as a favorite and would often use this number to contact Style Masters directly for appointments, leading your affiants to believe that the DEFENDANT cancelled MACIEJEWSKA'S appointment.

### CONCLUSION

Throughout the years, the Pennsylvania State Police have continued to conduct additional searches in various areas for the remains of Anna MACIEJEWSKA. These search areas were identified based on facts, evidence, and tips received. All areas that were searched showed no signs of MACIEJEWSKA. Your affiants have also investigated or inquired into the discovery of human remains across the region. These discovered remains did not match the DNA profile or description of MACIEJEWSKA.

Based on all the facts documented herein, your affiants submit that there is probable cause to believe that: (1) MACIEJEWSKA is not currently alive; (2) MACIEJEWSKA was killed; and (3) the DEFENDANT was the one who killed MACIEJEWSKA.

First, the evidence described above shows that MACIEJEWSKA is dead, despite the fact that her body has not been discovered. MACIEJEWSKA was known to heavily utilize her cell phone and communicate regularly with friends. After 3/28/17, her cellphone reflects minimal usage, and the usage that is present appears to have been done by the DEFENDANT. MACIEJEWSKA has not accessed any of the money in her financial accounts, nor were any preparations made prior to her disappearance. All of MACIEJEWSKA's belongings, including her ID and passports, are accounted for. MACIEJEWSKA abruptly ceased communication with her family and friends, leaving various appointments, commitments, and obligations unaddressed. Further, multiple witnesses confirm that MACIEJEWSKA had imminent plans to travel to Poland with A.G. to visit her family but never boarded a plane or arrived in Poland. Based on these factors and all the evidence detailed herein, we submit that there is probable cause to believe that MACIEJEWSKA is not currently alive.

Second, the evidence demonstrates that MACIEJEWSKA did not die of suicide or by natural causes; she was killed. MACIEJEWSKA'S may have been disheartened by her likely future divorce and difficulty starting that process, but she was a healthy individual. Based on the totality of the investigation, MACIEJEWSKA exhibited no signs of suicidal ideation or planning, and no information recovered indicates suicidal intent on or about 03/28/17. Additionally, no apparent implement of death was ever in the possession of MACIEJEWSKA. For example, no firearms are registered to her or the DEFENDANT. No knives or other weapons were reported to be missing from the residence. All prescription medications appeared accounted for and used properly. MACIEJEWSKA'S Audi, when discovered by law enforcement, was found in a safe environment with no physical hazards that would cause an accidental death or suicide. Had MACIEJEWSKA died of natural causes or suicide near her car, her body would have been discovered. Therefore, based on this and other evidence listed in this affidavit, your affiants submit that there is probable cause to believe that MACIEJEWSKA was killed.

Finally, there is probable cause to believe that the DEFENDANT is the person that killed MACIEJEWSKA. The evidence above demonstrates that, contrary to the DEFENDANT'S claims, there were significant issues within their marriage, and the DEFENDANT was aware that MACIEJEWSKA was seeking a divorce in the very near future. Before her disappearance, MACIEJEWSKA had imminent plans to travel to Poland with her son, to the point of packing luggage, and the DEFENDANT had expressed previous concerns about his custody rights if A.G. was to travel to Poland. When MACIEJEWSKA did not show up in Poland, her family made


repeated attempts to contact the DEFENDANT, which were ignored or responded to with evasive answers.


Prior to anyone reporting her missing, your affiants submit that the evidence demonstrates that the DEFENDANT utilized MACIEJEWSKA's phone to make it seem as though she was still alive - appointments were canceled in an odd manner, and MACIEJEWSKA's phone sent a text message to her father wishing him a happy birthday in grammatically incorrect Polish. Despite MACIEJEWSKA being able to speak and write Polish fluently, a Google Translate printout was located in the DEFENDANT's home with the exact happy birthday message that was sent to MACIEJWESKA's father from her phone.


The DEFENDANT reported his wife missing on Wednesday 4/12/17, stating the last time he saw her was on Monday (4/10/17) morning when she left for work in her Audi. The Audi's internal system shows the car was never started or driven on 4/10/17. After reporting his wife missing, the DEFENDANT stopped helping police attempt to locate his wife, wrote a check for a criminal defense attorney, clicked on an article about strangulation, got an additional cellular phone to evade law enforcement. Your affiants submit that these are just a few of the examples detailed above that establish probable cause to believe that the DEFENDANT was actively covering up MACIEJEWSKA's death—because he was the one who killed her.

Based on all of the above, your affiants request that this Honorable Court issue an arrest warrant for the Defendant.

TFL.  10308  
SPERAZZA

TR. T. A. AL  ALDGE 12509

Cpl. Dan Braed  BRAEDEN #10770

  
James C Kovalski, MDJ

